## CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION NO.: COA-18-21064

IN RE: The Certificate of Appropriateness Application of
Hoose Homes and Investments, LLC 2900 College Street
Jacksonville, FL 32205

## ORDER ON COA-18-21064 APPROVED with CONDITIONS

This matter came to be heard upon the Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) Application filed by Hart Resources, LLC, on behalf of Hoose Homes and Investments, LLC, the owner of certain real property located at 2900 College Street (R.E. \#064616-0000 and \#064622-0000), seeking approval for a 20 lot single family subdivision with 19 homes.

Having duly considered the evidence presented at the public hearing on August 22, 2018, including the Report of the Planning and Development Department and all attachments thereto ("Staff Report"), a portion of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A", and on file in its entirety in the Planning and Development Department, and additional evidence presented by the applicant attached hereto as Exhibit " B ", the Historic Preservation Commission of the City of Jacksonville hereby adopts and incorporates herein the recommendations of the Staff Report, as superseded by this Order where applicable, and

## FINDS AND DETERMINES:

1. That the applicant has complied with all application requirements set forth in Section 307.106 of the City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code;
2. That substantial competent evidence demonstrates that application COA-1821064 meets, to the extent applicable and as modified by any conditions contained herein, the standards and criteria set forth in Section 307.106 of the City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code;
3. The Commission adopts the Report of the Planning and Development Department for COA-18-21064, as superseded by this Order where applicable; and
4. That the land which is the subject of this application COA-18-21064 is owned by Hoose Homes and Investments, LLC.

NOW THEREFORE, it is ORDERED by the Historic Preservation Commission:
Application COA-18-21064 is hereby APPROVED and subject to the following CONDITIONS:
A. Regarding the request for a 20 lot single family subdivision with 19 homes, the following conditions shall apply:

1. There shall be an additional design of a one and one half story home, to be included in the front lots along College Street, and shall be used at least once as a constructed house, for diversity.
2. There shall be more diversity in front porch width and design for the structures that front on College Street. This may include reversing the design so the "rear" porch faces College Street.
3. There shall be no subdivision or neighborhood signage. The project should blend with, and be considered part of the neighborhood.
4. The rear corner lots should engage the side streets (Willow Branch Avenue \& Rubel Street) by providing access to the porch in the way of stairs from these side streets.
5. Driveways fronting on College Street shall be no more than 10 feet in width, with a maximum 2-foot flair at the edge of pavement, for a 12-foot apron maximum.
6. Driveway materials along College Street shall be concrete ribbons, brick shaped pavers, or a combination of both, no plain concrete.
7. All structures should have a common floor height no less than 18 inches, with garages permitted at grade.
8. The height and front facades shall be varied along College Street to replicate the diverse nature of house styles along the College Street elevation.
9. No two of the same design shall be placed next to one another along College Street.
10. Rear lot structures shall not be taller than the College Street front lot homes.
11. The proposed sidewalk shall be stamped concrete in the standard hexagonal pattern, similar to the historic pavers found throughout the district.
12. Final approval of any new housing designs shall require a Minor Modification to this COA.
13. Conditions for elevations shall be as described in the Staff Report attached hereto as Exhibit "A", as modified by the additional information submitted by the applicant approved by the Commission attached hereto as Exhibit "B", and further modified as described in the transcript of the August 22, 2018, Commission Meeting, attached hereto as Exhibit "C".
14. Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on the Commission Approval of each design, as described in the Staff Report attached hereto as Exhibit "A", as modified by the additional information submitted by the applicant approved by the Commission attached hereto as Exhibit " $B$ ", and further modified as described in the transcript of the August 22, 2018, Commission Meeting, attached hereto as Exhibit "C".
15. Minor changes to the design and architectural details (i.e. light patterns, column design, and masonry materials) can be administratively reviewed by the Historic Preservation Section of the Planning and Development Department.
16. Final plans in accordance with this Order, and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Historic Preservation Section of the Planning and Development Department at least one week prior to permitting.
B. These are SUGGESTIONS for the residences:
17. The rear lot driveways off the alley should be concrete vs. gravel.
18. Provide parallel spaces along the alley to accommodate additional cars for rear lots.
19. Add additional street trees a part of the development.
20. As part of the list of uses in the PUD, traditional single-family lots following the traditional lot pattern be included, if the developer chooses not to develop this project.
21. The project be developed in a logical pattern, so that should there be a change in market conditions, the rear houses are not developed first, creating a development pattern suburban in nature, and not reflective of the traditional design patterns for the district.
22. The home designs should have consistent roof forms on the front and back of the house.
C. The following Exhibits are hereby adopted and incorporated herein by reference, to the extent approved by the Commission as stated in the transcript of the August 22, 2018, Commission Meeting:
23. Exhibit A: Portion of Staff Report of the Planning and Development Department. As stated herein, the Staff Report is superseded by this Order where applicable.
24. Exhibit B: Additional information submitted by the applicant at the Commission Meeting on August 22, 2018.
25. Exhibit C: Transcript of the August 22, 2018, Commission Meeting. Except as superseded by this Order, the transcript of the August 22, 2018 Commission Meeting shall be binding to resolve any conflicts or inconsistencies among this Order, the Exhibits, or any other documents submitted in support of this application.
D. Failure to exercise the COA hereby granted by performance of the action herein approved within one (1) year of the effective date of this Order shall render this COA invalid and all rights arising hereunder shall terminate.

Executed this 28 day of September , 2018.

## FORM APPROVED



Copies to:

| Applicant: | Curtis Hart/Hart Resources LLC <br> 8051 Tara Lane <br> Jacksonville, FL 32216 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Owner: | Alexander Sifakis/Hoose Homes and Investments, LLC <br> 7563 Philips Highway, Suite 208 <br> Jacksonville, FL 32256 |

DISCLAIMER. The certificate of appropriateness granted herein shall not be construed as an exemption from any other applicable local, state, or federal laws, regulations, requirements, permits or approvals. All other applicable local, state or federal permits or approvals shall be obtained before commencement of the development or use and issuance of this certificate of appropriateness is based upon acknowledgement, representation and confirmation made by the applicant(s), owner(s), developer(s) and/or any authorized agent(s) or designee(s) that the subject business, development and/or use will be operated in strict compliance with all laws. Issuance of this certificate of appropriateness does not approve, promote or condone any practice or act that is prohibited or restricted by any federal, state or local laws.

## TIMEFRAMES AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Chapter 307.106(S) of the Jacksonville Ordinance Code states, "Any certificate of appropriateness which has been approved pursuant to the provisions of this section shall expire 12 months from the date of issuance if the work authorized is not commenced within this period. Further, such certificate shall expire if the work authorized is not completed within five years of the date of issuance, unless otherwise extended by the Commission."

Persons listed in Section 307.202, Ord. Code, may appeal this decision to the City Council within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this Order by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Legislative Services Division of the Council as required by section 307.203, Ord. Code. Failure to file a Notice with the Legislative Services Division within the time prescribed waives the right to appeal this Order. Therefore, this Order does not become final until the expiration of the twenty-one (21) day appeal period provided in Chapter 307, Jacksonville Ordinance Code. Any work commenced during this appeal period is done at the risk of the applicant.

# THE REPORT OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT 

## CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION COA-18-21064



## REQUEST / RECOMMENDATION

Request: Platt a new Subdivision for 19 single family homes, with 20 lots.
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Conditions:

1) The front setbacks shall be 10 feet, as measured from the front plane of the buildings to the front property line
2) The side setbacks shall be configured in such a way as to provide an average of 20 feet between each structure, replicating the average setbacks for the other side of the block and the neighborhood where the block is predominantly single-family homes.
3) The front homes shall be wide enough to allow the rear lot homes to appear subordinate, or, the rear lot homes shall be narrower to establish subordination
4) There shall be an additional design of a one and one half story home, to be included in the front lots along College Street, and shall be used at least once as a constructed house, for diversity.
5) There shall be more diversity in front porch width and design for the structures that front on College Street. This may include reversing the design so the "rear" porch faces College Street.
6) There shall be no subdivision or neighborhood signage. The project should blend with, and be considered part of the neighborhood
7) The rear corner lots should engage the side streets (Willow Branch Avenue \& Rubel Street) by providing access to the porch in the way of stairs from these side streets.
8) Driveways fronting on College Street shall be no more than 10 feet in width, with a maximum 2 -foot flair at the edge of pavement, for a $\mathbf{1 2}$-foot apron maximum.
9) Driveway materials along College Street shall be concrete ribbons, brick shaped pavers, or a combination of both, no plain concrete.
10) All structures should have a common floor height no less than 24 inches.
11) The height and front facades shall be varied along College Street to replicate the diverse nature of house styles along the college street elevation.
12) No two of the same design shall be places next to one another along College Street.
13) Rear lot structures shall not be taller than the College Street front lot homes.
14) The proposed sidewalk shall be stamped concrete in the standard hexagonal pattern, similar to the historic pavers found throughout the district.
15) Final approval of any new housing designs shall require a Minor Modification to this COA.
16) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
17) Minor changes to the design and architectural details (i.e. light patterns, column design, and masonry materials) can be administratively reviewed by the Historic Preservation Section of the Planning and Development Department.
18) Final plans in accordance with this Order, and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Historic Preservation Section of the Planning and Development Department at least one week prior to permitting.

## Suggestions:

1) The rear lot driveways off the ally should be concrete vs gravel
2) The rear lot homes should have a one car garage to make the home more narrow, and therefore subordinate
3) Provide parallel spaces along the ally to accommodate additional cars for rear lots
4) Add additional street trees a part of the development.
5) As part of the list of uses in the PUD, traditional single-family lots following the traditional lot pattern be included, if the developer chooses not to develop this project.
6) The project be developed in a logical pattern, so that should there be a change in market conditions, the rear houses are not developed first, creating
a development pattern suburban in nature, and not reflective of the traditional design patterns for the district.
7) The home designs should have consistent roof forms on the front and back of the house.

It is the position of the Planning and Development Department that the proposed subdivision
design, as conditioned is consistent with all or in part with: design, as conditioned is consistent with all or in part with:

## 1. The Historic Preservation Design Regulations for the Riverside-Avondale Historic District's section on "New Construction".

2. The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation numbers: 9
3. Chapter 307.106(k) General Standards: 1 - 4
4. Chapter 307.106(m) Guidelines on New Construction: 1-9

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Chapter 307.106(k), City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code states that in considering applications for a Certificate of Appropriateness for alterations, new construction, demolition, or relocation, the Commission shall be guided by four general standards. Chapter 307.106(1), City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code states that in considering applications for Certificates of Appropriateness for alterations, the Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission shall consider eight additional standards that reflect the same concerns as the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation. Chapter 307.106(m), City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code states that in considering applications for Certificates of Appropriateness for new construction, the Commission shall consider nine additional standards. In an effort to streamline the staff findings and recommendation, these additional standards are addressed under \#2 and \#3.

## GUIDELINES, STANDARDS AND FINDINGS

1. The effect of the proposed work on the landmark, landmark site or property within a historic district upon which such work is to be done;

## DESCRIPTION OF WORK

The application before the Commission is a unique proposal for a complete subdivision, which will occupy the north half of an entire block along College Street. The property is currently zoned Planned Unit Development, with an approved layout and design for 24 residential units, contained in two mixed use structures, with commercial office uses on the first floor. The proposed project will consist of 20 lots, 19 of which will contain new single-family homes, the remaining lot will be left a greenspace. The project proposes a unique layout, whereby the lots are configured to have the homes arranged in two rows, with half the lots fronting on College Street in a traditional manner, and the remaining half will be accessed by the ally, and front on an internal courtyard, central to the project, between the front and rear lots. The concept replicates a common design type found within the district, that of a primary residence fronting on the street, with a rear garage accessed off the ally, and a garage apartment conversion above. The current platted lot pattern for the block is 7 lots, 50 feet in width by 125 feet deep. This is the historic plat of the property, as approved in 1914. The south half of the block was constructed following this lot patterns, with seven structures on the original seven lots. The average space between the homes along this block is 20-21 feet. The homes on the south half of the block are a mix of one and two story homes. The variation in style and height is a typical condition found throughout the surrounding blocks as well as the district as a whole. The front setback proposed is less than that found on the southern half of the block, but more leeway can
be given to the front setback for several reasons. First, the adjacent block to the east has a mix of multi-family structures, as well as single-family homes. The multi-family structures are built to the property line, with no setback at all. The conditioned 10 -foot setback is a compromise between the larger front setbacks fond on the southern portion of the block as well as the surrounding singlefamily dominated blocks, and the zero front setback noted on the multi-family. Finally, as noted above, the rear homes will have rear ally access, while the front homes will have a more common driveway on one side of the property. Parking for the rear lots will be an attached garage, facing the ally, and the front lots will have parking in the driveway. Both can accommodate two cars.

## DOCUMENTED AND CURRENT CONDITIONS

Currently the subject lots are vacant, with the remnants of a paved surface visible. The area surrounding the property is a mix of residential uses, both multi-family and single-family, and commercial and industrial uses as well. Immediately across College Street, facing the project, is an industrial site that stores crushed concrete and other road aggregate material in large piles. There is a single building on site, and the site is surrounded by fencing and large stacks of shipping containers. Additionally across College Street are a main line of Railroad Tracks. Across Rubel Street, there is a two story multi-family complex. Beyond the multi-family structures, there are single-family homes along college. Across Willow Branch Avenue is a commercial nightclub, and the Roosevelt Boulevard overpass. Contextually, the project has little to reference immediately adjacent or abutting with regards to single-family homes.

## 2. The relationship between such work and other structures on the landmark site or other

 property in the historic district.
## EFFECT OF WORK ON HISTORIC DISTRICT

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation \#9 ${ }^{1}$ states, "related new construction shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment." It is the opinion of the Planning and Development Department the scope of work proposed as conditioned meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the following standards.

## Chapter 307.106(m) 1. Height

The height of any proposed alteration or construction shall be compatible with the style and character of the landmark and with surrounding structures in a historic district.

- The project, as conditioned, will have a majority of the structures designed as two story homes, but conditioned to have at least some variety with an additional one and one half story design. The one and one half and two story designs are common throughout the district, and relate to the mix of single and two story homes on the southern half of the subject block.
- The secondary row of homes reflect the two story garage / apartment structures that are common to the district, and as conditioned will be no taller than the structures that front on College Street, which will reflect the primary structures.

[^0]
## Chapter 307.106(m) 2.Proportions of windows and doors

The proportions and relationships between doors and windows shall be compatible with the architectural style and character of the landmark and with surrounding structures in a historic district.

- The Historic Preservation Regulations of the Riverside-Avondale Historic Districts' states under the section "New Construction" that the proportion of window openings should be consistent with those found on adjacent structures. The Design Regulations also state that repeated elements on neighboring structures such as alignment of windows and sills, unformed openings, and horizontal rhythms should be maintained in the design of the new structure.
- Generally, the overall designs will have a general rhythm of multi- level windows and doors, with common header heights. Each Specific model will be addressed later in this report, under their own criteria.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 3. Relationship of building masses, setbacks and spaces

The relationship of a structure within a historic district to the open space between it and adjoining structures shall be compatible.

- The general layout of the project reflects the common design element of primary homes with rear garages with apartments above, as seen throughout the district. $\underline{\mathbf{A s}}$ conditioned, the proposed setbacks will be in keeping with the setbacks common to the southern portion of the block, as well as the other areas of the adjacent blocks. This condition will most likely result in the loss of several lots to accommodate these increased setbacks. The design guidelines recommend that infill development replicate the setbacks of the surrounding historical structures, to reduce the impact of new construction on the historic structures in the area, and recommends avoiding the infill development be substantially different than the traditional setbacks, so as to not stand out as new construction.
- The massing of one and one half and two story homes along College street is a typical massing pattern for single-family residential streets in the Riverside / Avondale district, as well as reflective of the southern half of the block, where context is drawn from.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 4.Roof Shape

The design of the roof shall be compatible with the architectural style and character of the landmark and surrounding structures in a historic district.

- The roof forms are a mix of hip and gable roof forms, and each proposed design will be addressed individually later in this report.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 5.Landscaping

Landscaping shall be compatible with the architectural character and appearance of the landmark and of surrounding structures and landscapes in a historic district.

- The proposed project will be designed with some landscaping along College Street, but the bulk of the landscaping will be contained within the "courtyard" area between the


## EXHIBIT A

front and rear lots. This is generally a typical condition, though street trees are also a common element along residential streets and should be considered as part of this project.

## Chapter 307.106(m) 6.Scale

The scale of the structure after alteration, construction, or partial demolition shall be compatible with its architectural style and character and with surrounding structures in a historic district.

- Generally, two story homes are common throughout the district, as conditioned; the use of both two story and one and one half story homes is reflective of the mix of elevations noted on the southern half of the subject block, with regards to single-family development patterns. Although a portion of the project abuts multi-family designs to the south, these are atypical for the block, and reflect a different development style.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 7.Directional Expression

Facades in historic districts shall blend with other structures with regard to directional expression. Structures in a historic district shall be compatible with the dominant horizontal or vertical expression of surrounding structures. The directional expression of a landmark after alteration, construction, or partial demolition shall be compatible with its original architectural style and character.

- The design of the project attempts to replicate the typical design pattern of a primary structure, with a rear detached garage with an apartment above. The front lots, or "primary structure" will face College Street, in a standard design. The rear structures will have the garage access off the ally, and the entrance to the front, facing the front lot homes. This is generally typical for these types of accessory structures, and would be an acceptable design. As conditioned, the rear lost on the corners of the courtyard and both Willow Branch Avenue and Rubel Street shall have some engagement with these side streets, and not be blank walls.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 8. Architectural Details

Architectural details including materials and textures shall be treated so as to make a landmark compatible with its original architectural style and character and to preserve and enhance the architectural style or character of a landmark or historic district.

- The Historic Preservation Regulations for the Riverside-Avondale Historic Districts suggest that for new construction, materials that are compatible in quality, color, texture, finish, and dimension to those common to the district should be used ${ }^{2}$. The proposed design of the new construction contains many architectural details that are in keeping with historic elements found throughout the Riverside-Avondale Historic District. Such details include:
- The proposed fiber-cement lap siding is a contemporary version of the wood lap siding that is prolific in the Riverside-Avondale Historic District.
- The use of vertically-oriented windows spaced evenly throughout.

[^1]- The use of a hip \& gable style roof designs with a hip and gable roof designs over dormers and one-story porches are common architectural features found in the Riverside / Avondale Historic District.
- The various width front porches with various column designs consistent with the immediate area and the District. Individual designs will be addressed later in this report.
- Generally the, designs of each model reflect homes that would be considered previously altered, in that they replicate homes where an opper story porch may have been enclosed, or a protion of the first floor porch has been enclosed. Although not a traditional design, it does reflect a common design element found throughout the distirtc, and allows for the infill development to blend, while allowing for a more modern internal design.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 9.Impact on Archaeological Sites

New construction shall be undertaken in such a manner as to preserve the integrity of archaeological sites and landmark sites.

- It is the opinion of the Planning and Development Department that the proposed new residence would most likely not impact archeological resources, given that this site show evidence of previous development. However, as this project will be a new subdivision, as defined in chapter 654 of the municipal code, they will be required to conduct an archeological survey.

3. The extent to which the historic, architectural, or archaeological significance, architectural style, design, arrangement, texture and materials of the landmark or the property would be affected.

## EFFECT OF WORK ON STRUCTURE OR SITE

The proposed new construction is consistent with Standards Nine of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Standard Nine emphasizes that new construction shall not destroy historic materials, is differentiated from the old while being compatible in massing, size, scale, and architectural features in order to preserve the historical integrity of the property and its immediate surroundings. Since being constructed vacant parcels with adjacent new construction proposed and compatibly height and styles with the surrounding contributing structures, as conditioned no historic fabric will be impacted. The proposed residences are differentiated by their various designs and materials while being compatible in massing, size, scale and architectural features of adjacent properties.

The proposed structure will contribute to the creation of a traditional residential streetscape consistent with surrounding parts of the Springfield Historic District; thus building context within the district.
4. Whether the plans may be carried out by the applicant within a reasonable period of time.

## TIME FRAMES

Chapter 307.106 (S) of the Jacksonville Ordinance Code states, "Any certificate of appropriateness which has been approved pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall expire 12 months from the
date of issuance if the work authorized is not commenced within this period. Further, such certificate shall expire if the work authorized is not completed within five years of the date of issuance, unless otherwise extended by the Commission." It is the opinion of the Planning and Development Department that the proposed work can be completed within a reasonable period, however, as this project is tied to additional legislative action, staff would suggest that "commenced" be amended to include the Approval of the PUD legislation. It may take the applicant several months to complete the approval process though the City Council, and my not begin actual construction in the one year period.
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Property Map 1 (2013)


Property MAP 2 (2018)


Proposed Development Design


Proposed design and streetscape


Streetscape, eastern 5 lots


Streetscape, western 5 lots, with park on westernmost lot.


Subject property


Adjacent block, east along College Street


Industrial complex across College Street


Railroad tracks and overpass across College Street and Willow Branch Avenue


Multifamily on south portion of subject block
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Single Family homes, both one and two story, along south side of subject block

Request: A Approval for the "1791 Prairie" design
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Conditions:

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
3) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
4) All windows shall have a common header height.
5) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch ( $3 "-4 "$ ) traditional mullion.
6) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
7) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
8) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
9) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
10) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
11) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.


Request: B Approval for the " 1791 Craftsman A" design
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Conditions:

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
3) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
4) Shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
5) All windows shall have a common header height.
6) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch ( 3 "-4") traditional mullion.
7) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
8) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
9) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
10) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
11) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
12) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.


Request: C Approval for the " 1791 Craftsman B" design
Recommendation: Approve with Conditions
Conditions:

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The railing system shall be made of wood and the spindles set in between an upper and lower railing with no exposed ends.
3) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
4) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than 8-10 feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
5) All windows shall have a common header height.
6) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
7) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
8) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
9) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
10) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
11) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
12) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.


Request: D

## Recommendation:

 Conditions:Approval for the " 1817 Prairie" design
Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
3) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
4) All windows shall have a common header height.
5) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
6) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
7) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
8) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
9) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
10) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
11) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.


Request: $E$
Recommendation: Conditions:

Approval for the " 1817 Craftsman A" design
Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
5) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
6) All windows shall have a common header height.
7) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch ( 3 "-4") traditional mullion.
8) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
9) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
10) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
11) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
12) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
13) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.
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Request: F Approval for the "1817 Craftsman B" design
Recommendation:

## Conditions:

Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) The railing system shall be made of wood and the spindles set in between an upper and lower railing with no exposed ends.
5) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
6) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
7) All windows shall have a common header height.
8) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
9) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
10) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
11) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
12) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
13) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
14) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.


## Request: $G$ Approval for the " 1805 Prairie" design <br> Recommendation: <br> Conditions:

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The railing system shall be made of wood and the spindles set in between an upper and lower railing with no exposed ends.
3) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
4) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
5) All windows shall have a common header height.
6) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
7) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
8) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
9) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
10) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
11) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
12) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.


## Request: H Approval for the "1805 Craftsman" design <br> Recommendation: <br> Conditions: <br> Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) The railing system shall be made of wood and the spindles set in between an upper and lower railing with no exposed ends.
5) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
6) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
7) All windows shall have a common header height.
8) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
9) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
10) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
11) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
12) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
13) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
14) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.
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## Request: I Approval for the "1825 Prairie" design <br> Recommendation: Conditions: <br> Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) The railing system shall be made of wood and the spindles set in between an upper and lower railing with no exposed ends.
5) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
6) Windows shall be addedto both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
7) All windows shall have a common header height.
8) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
9) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
10) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
11) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
12) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
13) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
14) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.
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## Request: $J \quad$ Approval for the "1825 Craftsman" design <br> Recommendation: <br> Conditions: <br> Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
5) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
6) All windows shall have a common header height.
7) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
8) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
9) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
10) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
11) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
12) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
13) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.
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Request: $K$
Recommendation: Conditions:

Approval for the "1610 Crastman A" design
Approve with Conditions

1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
5) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
6) All windows shall have a common header height.
7) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch (3"-4") traditional mullion.
8) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
9) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
10) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
11) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
12) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
13) Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.
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1) The belt line and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash
2) The shutters shall be of a traditional louvered design.
3) Shutters shall be applied to all windows on a given elevation or not at all.
4) Both the right and left side elevations shall include a majority of vertically oriented windows
5) Windows shall be added to both the left and right side elevations so that there is no more than $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ feet of blank wall space between each window, on both the upper and lower floors
6) All windows shall have a common header height.
7) All windows shall be recessed within the wall plane and shall be trimmed in a traditional manner. All window pairs shall be divided by a three inch to four inch ( 3 "-4") traditional mullion.
8) The fascia boards and soffits shall be composed of wood or a cementitious material-vinyl is an inappropriate material.
9) There shall be more diversity in door design, with the option for Craftsman style, French, half or full light doors.
10) The front door shall have clear glass without decorative etching.
11) Approval of Final Designs for construction shall be approved by staff as administrative COA's as part of the building permit review process, and staff approval shall be based on Commission Approval of each design contained within this application.
12) Minor changes to architectural details such as light patterns, column design and masonry materials can be handled administratively by the Planning and Development Department.
"1610 Craftsman B"
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## Final plans and product information shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to permitting and construction.

It is the position of the Planning and Development Department that the proposed designs, as conditioned, are consistent with all or in part with:
5. The Historic Preservation Design Regulations for the Springfield Historic District's section on "New Construction".
6. The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation Numbers: 9
7. Chapter 307.106(k) General Standards: 1 - 4
8. Chapter 307.106(m) Guidelines on New Construction: 1-9

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Chapter 307.106(k), City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code states that in considering applications for a Certificate of Appropriateness for alterations, new construction, demolition, or relocation, the Commission shall be guided by four general standards. Chapter 307.106(1), City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code states that in considering applications for Certificates of Appropriateness for alterations, the Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission shall consider eight additional standards that reflect the same concerns as the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation. Chapter 307.106(m), City of Jacksonville Ordinance Code states that in considering applications for Certificates of Appropriateness for new construction, the Commission shall consider nine additional standards. In an effort to streamline the staff findings and recommendation, these additional standards are addressed under \#2 and \#3.

## GUIDELINES, STANDARDS AND FINDINGS

1. The effect of the proposed work on the landmark, landmark site or property within a historic district upon which such work is to be done;

## DESCRIPTION OF WORK - NEW CONSTRUCTION: HOME DESIGNS

The proposed designs are for two distinct housing types, those located along College Street, known as the "front" lots, and those that are in the rear, accessed off the ally, with no real street frontage, known as the "rear" lots. Each house type has several distinct designs, in an effort to create diversity. As noted above, in the section on the project overall, staff has conditioned that there be at least one more new design, for the College Street elevations, with a one and one half story design. The majority of the front and rear house types are two stories, with a mix of three-quarter, half and quarter width porches. The front lot designs have a double front design, whereby the lost have a front façade that faces College Street, and a second front façade that faces the courtyard. The rear lot housing types have a main front façade that faces the courtyard, and a true rear façade with an attached garage that faces the ally. The homes have a mix of elevations and roof forms, ranging from gabled ends, hips, and combinations of both. They have bracket elements, belt lines, mixes in material siding, such as horizontal lap siding, faux shake single, and brick. The designs have front porch elements such as columns, cheek walls, and in some cases railing on the upper story balconies

## EXHIBIT A

or porches. The lots, as conditioned, would have setbacks to match that of the surrounding blocks, with a minimum of 20 feet between structures, and a front setback of no less than 10 feet.

## DOCUMENTED AND CURRENT CONDITIONS

As noted earlier in the report, the majority of the context for this location is unrelated to singlefamily home designs. With an industrial storage lot across College, railroad track and a highway overpass to the northwest, a nightclub to the immediate west, and a larger multifamily structure to the east. Because of this, as recommended in the design guidelines, context must then be sought from the rear of the block, or other blocks with contributing structures. The surrounding area has a mix of one and two story single-family homes. The average distance between structures is 20 feet. The front setback is difficult to average, as there is much variation throughout this area. Therefore, a common setback of 10 feet is conditioned.
5. The relationship between such work and other structures on the landmark site or other property in the historic district.

## EFFECT OF WORK ON HISTORIC DISTRICT

Standard Nine of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation ${ }^{3}$ states, "related new construction shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment." It is the opinion of the Planning and Development Department the scope of work proposed as conditioned meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the following standards from the Historic Preservation Regulations for the Riverside / Avondale Historic District.

## Chapter 307.106(m) 1. Height

The height of any proposed alteration or construction shall be compatible with the style and character of the landmark and with surrounding structures in a historic district.

- All the current proposed residences are two stories in height. This is a common design and height for the district. As conditioned, there will be the addition of a one and one half story design, to replicate the diverse nature of the one and two story designs on the surrounding blocks.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 2. Proportions of windows and doors

The proportions and relationships between doors and windows shall be compatible with the architectural style and character of the landmark and with surrounding structures in a historic district.

- The Historic Preservation Regulations of the Springfield Historic Districts' states under the section "New Construction" that the proportion of window openings should be

[^2]
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consistent with those found on adjacent structures. The Design Regulations also state that repeated elements on neighboring structures such as alignment of windows and sills, unformed openings, and horizontal rhythms should be maintained in the design of the new structure.

- The proposed design and elevations show the use of consistent head height as is typically found in historic structures within the district
- The proposed structure, as conditioned, has a consistent horizontal rhythm of openings along the front, sides and rear of the structure.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 3. Relationship of building masses, setbacks and spaces

The relationship of a structure within a historic district to the open space between it and adjoining structures shall be compatible.

- The majority of the proposed designs are two story in nature. With the conditioned addition of a one and one half story design, the massing will be similar to the massing of the surrounding blocks with single-family designed homes.
- As conditioned, the setbacks will match that of the surrounding blocks, with a minimum of 20 feet between structures.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 4. Roof Shape

The design of the roof shall be compatible with the architectural style and character of the landmark and surrounding structures in a historic district.

- The proposed roof forms are a mix of hips, gables and hips and gables. These are common roof forms found throughout the district.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 5.Landscaping

Landscaping shall be compatible with the architectural character and appearance of the landmark and of surrounding structures and landscapes in a historic district.

- The proposed landscape plan focuses most of the landscaping to the middle of the project, with a proposed tree canopy that is typical of the district. It is suggested that more street trees be added to the College Street elevation.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 6.Scale

The scale of the structure after alteration, construction, or partial demolition shall be compatible with its architectural style and character and with surrounding structures in a historic district.

- The majority of the homes in this project are two story, and as conditioned, there will be a 1.5 story design included, to offer visual disruption to the uniform appearance of the block, in an attempt to deemphasize the look of a newly created subdivision, to better help the project blend. The Riverside Avondale Historic District is distinctive for its diverse architecture, and with the addition of a 1.5 story design, this will help to incorporate this important element into this project.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 7.Directional Expression

## EXHIBIT A

Facades in historic districts shall blend with other structures with regard to directional expression. Structures in a historic district shall be compatible with the dominant horizontal or vertical expression of surrounding structures. The directional expression of a landmark after alteration, construction, or partial demolition shall be compatible with its original architectural style and character.

- The proposed placement with the primary elevation facing the street is standard in the Riverside / Avondale Historic District. Although half the homes do not front on a public street, but rather a private park, the location of these rear lots, as conditioned, reflect the common development type found in the district where a single home can have a fully converted garage / apartment dwelling unit. In effect, these second homes serve as the detached converted garage in context to the homes that do front on College Street. Thus replicating a common design and location for converted accessory structures. Additionally, the conditions related to engagement of the side streets by the outside rear lots further helps to integrate the project into the neighborhood, reflecting a common feature of corner lots. Especially in this case, as there is no other opportunity for street engagement.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 8. Architectural Details

Architectural details including materials and textures shall be treated so as to make a landmark compatible with its original architectural style and character and to preserve and enhance the architectural style or character of a landmark or historic district.

- The Historic Preservation Regulations for the Riverside / Avondale Historic Districts suggest that for new construction, materials that are compatible in quality, color, texture, finish, and dimension to those common to the district should be used ${ }^{4}$. The proposed design of the new construction contains many architectural details that are in keeping with historic elements found throughout the Riverside / Avondale Historic District. Such details include:
- The proposed fiber-cement lap siding and shake shingles are a contemporary versions of the wood lap siding and cedar shingles that are prolific in the Riverside / Avondale Historic District.
- As conditioned, the use of vertically-oriented windows spaced evenly throughout.
- The use of a hip \& gable style roof designs with a hip and gable roof designs over dormers and one-story porches are common architectural features found in the Riverside / Avondale Historic District.
- The various width front porches with various column designs, as conditioned, are consistent with the immediate area and the District.


## Chapter 307.106(m) 9.Impact on Archaeological Sites

New construction shall be undertaken in such a manner as to preserve the integrity of archaeological sites and landmark sites.

- It is the opinion of the Planning and Development Department that the proposed new

[^3]
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residence would most likely not impact archeological resources, given that this site show evidence of previous development. However, as this project will be a new subdivision, as defined in chapter 654 of the municipal code, they will be required to conduct an archeological survey.
6. The extent to which the historic, architectural, or archaeological significance, architectural style, design, arrangement, texture and materials of the landmark or the property would be affected.

## EFFECT OF WORK ON STRUCTURE OR SITE

The proposed new construction is consistent with Standards Nine of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Standard Nine emphasizes that new construction shall not destroy historic materials, is differentiated from the old while being compatible in massing, size, scale, and architectural features in order to preserve the historical integrity of the property and its immediate surroundings. Since being constructed vacant parcels with adjacent new construction proposed and compatibly height and styles with the surrounding contributing structures, as conditioned no historic fabric will be impacted. The proposed residences are differentiated by their various designs and materials while being compatible in massing, size, scale and architectural features of adjacent properties.

The proposed structure will contribute to the creation of a traditional residential streetscape consistent with surrounding parts of the Springfield Historic District; thus building context within the district.
7. Whether the plans may be carried out by the applicant within a reasonable period of time.

## TIME FRAMES

Chapter 307.106 (S) of the Jacksonville Ordinance Code states, "Any certificate of appropriateness which has been approved pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall expire 12 months from the date of issuance if the work authorized is not commenced within this period. Further, such certificate shall expire if the work authorized is not completed within five years of the date of issuance, unless otherwise extended by the Commission." It is the opinion of the Planning and Development Department that the proposed work can be completed within a reasonable period, however, as this project is tied to additional legislative action, staff would suggest that "commenced" be amended to include the Approval of the PUD legislation. It may take the applicant several months to complete the approval process though the City Council, and my not begin actual construction in the one year period.

## COA-18-21064
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HISTORIC PRESERVATION
COMMISSION

Proceedings held on Wednesday, August 22, 2018,
commencing at 3:00 p.m., Ed Ball Building, 214 North Hogan Street, Conference Room 1002, 1st Floor,

Jacksonville, Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, a Notary
Public in and for the State of Florida at Large.

PRESENT:
JACK C. DEMETREE, III, Chairman.
RYAN P. DAVIS, Vice Chair.
ERIK C. KASPER, Secretary
ANDRES LOPERA, Commission Member.
TIMOTHY BRAMWELL, Commission Member.
ALSO PRESENT:
CHRISTIAN POPOLI, Planning and Development Dept.
LISA SHEPPARD, Planning and Development Dept.
SHANNON ELLER, Office of General Counsel
CANDACE LONG, Planning and Development Dept.

Piane M. Tropia , Ine., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, Flo 32203
(904) $821-0300$

August 22, 2018
PROCEEDINGS

THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go ahead and start the August 22nd meeting of the Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission.

We're going to start with introductions.
Christian, if you would.
MR. POPOLI: Christian Popoli, Planning and Development.

MS. ELLER: Shannon Eller, Office of General Counsel.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Tim Bramwell, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Ryan Davis, Commissioner.

THE CHAIRMAN: J.C. Demetree, Chairman.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Erik Kasper, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Andres Lopera, Commissioner.

THE CHAIRMAN: If you all could please silence your cell phones. Any conversations please have out in the hallway. If anybody would like to speak, if you could just please fill out a yellow speaker card and bring it up

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
to the front here. We're going to take a break every two hours.

I will entertain a motion to pass our minutes from the July 25th meeting.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Motion to pass the July 25th minutes.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you passed the minutes from the July 25th meeting.

We're going to go right into the consent agenda. I'm going to split the consent agenda into two today because one of our commissioners has two on the consent agenda. I'm going to start with COA-18-20638, 1529 Donald Street, and COA-18-21070, 1243 Hollywood Avenue. I'm going to open the public hearing.

Is there anyone here to speak on either of those COAs?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm only here for questions about Number 1.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'll close the public
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
(904) 821-0300
hearing. Do any commissioners have any ex-parte to declare?

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Yes, I will, for the big one, the cottages on College Street.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'll get there. So I'll take a motion to pass Number 1 and Number 4 on the consent agenda.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: He said he will take the motion.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Oh, sorry. I'll make a motion to approve Items 1 and 4 of the consent agenda.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you have approved a portion of the consent agenda.

We'll move to the second part of the consent agenda.

Ryan, I'm assuming -- what does he need to do for this? Anything?

MS. ELLER: To the Chair, he will just
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
announce that he's recusing himself because he
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3
4 has an interest in the project, and he has the forms in front of him that need to be signed today and given to staff.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I will recuse myself from COA-18-20936 and COA-18-20937.

THE CHAIRMAN: So the second part of the consent agenda, COA-18-20936, 1224 Willow Court, COA-18-20937, 0 Willow Branch Avenue, I'm going to go ahead and open the public hearing on that.

Is there anyone here to speak on either of those COAs?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I make a motion to approve COA-18-20936 and COA-18-20937. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
(Commissioner Davis abstains from voting.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
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THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you've passed the second part of the consent agenda, and we will move on to COA- --

MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, the applicant for 3rd Street is here, so we can take that up in order.

THE CHAIRMAN: So we're going to start with COA-18-20773, 133 West 3rd Street. Do any commissioners have any ex-parte?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, I'll take a staff report.

MS. SHEPPARD: Commissioners, there's three parts of this application, one being the -- actually, four. Adding a single story wraparound porch to the front facade. Currently, there is just kind of a stoop there. We do have historic evidence that there was a wraparound porch at one point.

The second scope is that it's an alteration to -- what we're calling the sun room that you're seeing on the screen there. It has the grouping of windows on both the front and the side, and then some walkways on the front of the property that we could handle
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at the staff level, so our report didn't really get into that, and then window replacement for the overall structure, some select windows that -- what remains of historic windows.

So just to touch all of these, the wraparound porch, as I said before, we do have evidence that there was one on this structure.

THE CHAIRMAN: Lisa, hang on one second.
If everybody could please take their conversations outside. Our court reporter is having a hard time hearing.

Thanks.
MS. SHEPPARD: Our evidence shows it would have gone across the whole front of the two-story portion and then the left side where the sun room is currently located.

After doing some Sanborn map research, we believe that the existing feature that you see on the side is a combination of what remains of the wraparound porch, that has since been altered and expanded. And you can't tell from these pictures on the screen right now, but that feature actually is a lot longer down the side of the structure than it was historically.

So when you're facing the building on that
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left side, what you're seeing is -- I think it might be the picture below it. Or not. No.

Okay. What you're seeing is the bank of windows that continues around the corner, and then there's wall space and just a normal, I believe, pair of windows.

So what the applicant was proposing was for a porch to wrap both around the right side of the house and around the left-hand side of the house, that wrap going literally around that sun room. So it would go beyond the historic footprint of the wraparound and also wrap around a side that it never did historically.

So we didn't feel that we could support that because it's creating a completely different feature and one that doesn't really happen or it's just not a traditional approach to a wraparound porch that we see in the historic district.

So what we felt we could support was a porch being added to the front, across the full front and extending to the end of the sun room feature. And if they want a wraparound feature, our recommendation -- this is not a
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1 condition but a recommendation -- would be to
2 reopen that space and kind of reconnect it to this new portion that's on the front. And that would get them the wraparound. They would have to rework the roof potentially, but that would be the best approach as far as sticking with what we have historical documentation for.

The alteration to the sun room, that space that currently has the bank of windows, assuming they don't go forward with that recommendation that I just made, they are proposing to remove the bank of windows and to just go back with window pairs or a single window in the front and I think a pair on the side.

And we feel that this overall feature as it exists today has been -- you know, it's lost its integrity. It doesn't connect to a porch anymore. It's got an extension on the back. So just dealing with this alteration on its own, we felt that those proposed changes were fine, so we were okay with that part of the application.

And then window replacement. Having gone out to the field, the vast majority of the
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windows on the structure have already been replaced. There were a handful of windows that were of a historic nature. They were a variety of 1 -over-1, 2 -over-2, so we felt that they met the qualification for being over 50 percent, were either previously replaced or missing or what was there were in pretty bad shape. So they could probably preserve the ones on the front, but we didn't feel it was appropriate to condition it because of the 50 percent reference in the design regulations that would allow them to replace all of them.

And so, in summary, our conditions are that the second -- that the new porch shall be limited to the full width of the front facade, including the existing sun room, if the roof can either be successfully integrated into the existing sun room gable roof or that the sun room roof be altered to a lower hipped roof form to tie into the hipped roof of the new porch.

It's probably very wordy, but if you're following the proposed roof design of the porch, it would make more sense.

That the roof of the new porch shall be Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 of the house?
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shingled to match the house versus the proposed metal; that the new window pair on the sun room shall have a traditional mullion between the units to match other historic window pairs on the house; that the new siding they'd be filling in on the sun room shall match the existing wood siding; and that all the new windows for the window replacement shall fit the historic or existing opening, have equal sash sizes, be recessed into the wall, our normal condition for window replacement. And the final two conditions are just related to those minor changes that are pretty routine for all of our applications.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. Does anybody have questions for staff? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, clarification. When you say the porch is limited to the width of the house, based on the elevation that they are sending, that we're looking at on the screen, does that mean literally the floor footprint stops at the edge

MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, yes, that it be across the two-story portion, not wrap on the right side and not wrap on the left side.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: So it's contained -the roof portion is contained within the body of the house?

MS. SHEPPARD: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So then, I guess, it goes back to the -- your wordy condition that the roof pitch coordinate or match with the old sun room roof?

MS. SHEPPARD: Right. The reason that's in there is what's currently there on the side is a gable end, which it wouldn't have had if it was part of the original porch. So we believe that gable end was added when they expanded the footprint on that side with an addition off of the wraparound.

So I believe that the applicant has a -there was a proposal for a cricket to kind of connect that. That was part of their plan for doing the full wraparound. If the Commission feels that that would be fine as far as a way to approach it if they wanted to reopen that
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1 feature, then we would certainly take that into column says 10 feet, zero. So the question is:
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Is that a typical height of porches in the area?

MS. SHEPPARD: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Maybe we don't know.
So that -- if that eases the transition to the old sun room, that might be a possibility to lower that porch.

MS. SHEPPARD: That makes sense, yes.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: That's it. I'm finished.

THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else have questions for staff?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm going to go ahead and open the public hearing.

Is the applicant here?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's me.
THE CHAIRMAN: You can come on up.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: If you will state your name and address, and she will swear you in.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Nathan Ballentine, 133
West 3rd Street.
THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right hand for me, please.
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well-used front porch. In college I learned about how front porches build community, and so I built a front porch on my parents' suburban home in Tallahassee. My wife and I lived in two houses before moving here, and both of them had front porches. And the last one had a front porch that wrapped to the east side, and we would eat dinner there oftentimes because it would be shaded from the afternoon sun. So we're front porch people with no porch.

Historically, as has been stated, we had a front porch that wrapped to the west, and it was torn down. The front part was torn down. That western portion was turned into livable indoor space. So to recreate a semblance of that original wraparound porch that used to be without losing air-conditioned real estate on the inside, we would love to wrap to the east side.

And that's our big thing that we are going for, is to get that wrapped to the east side, because then we can recreate that dinner on the front porch where you have kind of different levels of publicness. It's not the right way to say that, but of course in the very front
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1 you can engage with your neighbors more. When

2
3
4

Since we are trying to go to that east side,
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the extension to the left really wasn't an attempt to wrap but just to provide a staircase that went down to the left that went onto our driveway.

And we're -- we're fine if that comes out or if somehow we're able to redesign it so it ends at the edge of the sun room. Our main thing is we want to get to our driveway to the left, and we want the columns to fit together so that it's not erratic looking. If you look real closely, you can see the windows are all set there on the right side, and so the porch is going to conceal that, which is going to be a plus.

I think that's it. Thank you so much.
THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody have any questions for the applicant?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: So you're obviously presenting a design that has a little bit of a wrap, and your reasoning is the west side extension is for a stair that goes to the rear and for some proper column spacing, and your east side wrap is the -- is the desire to have a dining area?

MR. BALLENTINE: Yes, in part that.
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 testimony you are about to give will be the
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truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. TRAUTMANN: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. TRAUTMANN: Yeah. I would like to, as an aside, stand up and support this. I'm here on another matter. It just so happens that my foreman of 14 years was born in that house, and his parents owned that house since 1921, I believe, so I've been in it a hundred times. And it did have a porch. Where it's glazed in now, that was an open porch, and they added that little hip roof in the back. His parents did that in the '50s or '60s. But he would be thrilled. He just passed away three months ago. He would be totally delighted with this happening to his house, so I thought I would pass that along for your consideration.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you.
Is anybody else here to speak on this COA?
AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing none, I'll close the public hearing and entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I motion to approve COA-18-20773 with staff conditions.
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10 feet in depth, he's proposing a little smaller than that. That's probably based on the architect realizing that to do it any deeper might throw off the front setbacks as it pertains to the rest of the block, which is all new construction. So we're not worried about that change in front setback because we have historic documentation to support it, and that's what we would share with the zoning side if they questioned that setback.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. So through the Chair to staff again, to possibly summarize your concern about the wraparound porch, is that you feel that on the left side or the west side, that that was the wraparound porch which is based on the Sanborn maps, and if they want to, quote-unquote, "wrap" on the left side, they should go back and restore that portion that has been enclosed?

MS. SHEPPARD: Yes. That would be our concern on that.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: And then the rejection of the porch on the east side is that there's not historical data for that to exist?

MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, yes. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

It kind of creates a false sense of the history as far as where the porch would have been located.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if we look at the Sanborn maps that are in the application or that staff prepared, it appears that it's traditional that there's a front porch and then there's a wrap porch, is what I see on the Sanborn.

MS. SHEPPARD: Correct.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if the western portion was to terminate with what is now somewhat of a solid form of structure, and if the eastern or right side was able to wrap, although it would not be exactly the way the Sanborn was, it would still be similar to what has been done in the past, where there's a front porch and a side porch.

So my suggestion would be, or possible -you'd have to maybe ask the applicant or his architect -- if the left side would be flush with the old currently enclosed porch and the right side would wrap, if that would be an option that the owner would want to pursue and if staff would recommend it.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I've got one thing to add there, as far as what would there be. Steps down or would we --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I think he could have plain steps that just go out and that the porch wouldn't extend. The porch and the floor of the porch would stay at the body or mass of the house, and then they can just simply have stairs that go out, out the left side or west side.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: I think that's a pretty good compromise. I'll tell you what, do you want to come back up and talk to us for a second?
(Mr. Ballentine approaches the podium.)
MR. BALLENTINE: Yes, sir.
THE CHAIRMAN: Tell us how you feel about that sort of compromise.

MR. BALLENTINE: That works. Yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. ELLER: Mr. Chair, just because I'm
new -- and I apologize for not addressing this
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1 at the beginning. My understanding is that for 2 each of your COAs, at the conclusion of the public hearing, someone will move the item for consideration with the staff's comments and receive a second, so then it's on the floor for discussion?

THE CHAIRMAN: Correct.
MS. ELLER: And then as you work through these things, individual members may offer an amendment to these conditions to offer a compromise; am I getting that correctly?

THE CHAIRMAN: That's correct.
MS. ELLER: Okay. I apologize for not bringing that up earlier, but I just wanted to keep it straight for keeping track for the motions.

THE CHAIRMAN: No problem. Yeah.
MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I just had one quick question. There was a discussion about doing an 8 -foot or 10 -foot-deep porch. I just want to make sure if the wrap is going to be there, whether that's going to be 8 -feet deep as well -- on the east side, I believe?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Correct.
MR. POPOLI: I just wanted to clear that
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up for the applicant so when we get the final plans, we know what we're looking at.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Anybody else have comments?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Erik, if you want to try to put together a motion here.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Or amendment.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: I'll make an amendment to Andres' motion of approval to offer the option of the wraparound porch on the east side that would be the same depth as the front porch, and then the west porch would stop at the western edge of the home, which is the old sun porch and that that -- I apologize, if I can stop there.

Through the Chair to staff, can we still get you to approve the column layout for the front elevation, conditional that the staff approves the column spacing?

MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, that would be covered under Condition 6, which allows us to deal with the revised plans.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Perfect. Then I'll
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leave it at that amendment, which I believe was the right porch, easterly porch, and then the west one to terminate.

MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, could I just get a clarification on that? Is part of your condition that the depth of the side porch match the depth of the front porch?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: That's correct.
THE CHAIRMAN: And do I have a second?
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: So that covers ...
COMMISSIONER KASPER: The amendment.
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. That leaves 3 and 4 in place, correct? Or excuse me.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I believe it was phrased as an option, so it would be 1A or 1B.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: That's true.
THE CHAIRMAN: So on the amendment, I will take a vote.

All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
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THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you passed COA-18-20773.

MS. ELLER: As amended.
THE CHAIRMAN: As amended.
And we're going to skip College Street and come back to that and move on to COA-18-21089, 1515 Boulevard.

I'll take a staff report.
MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, while Mr. Popoli is finding the item, I'll start the report.

This is for the demolition of what's classified as a contributing structure, which we don't normally support. However, in this particular case, the structure in question is a garage-apartment-type feature. This happens every once in a while where if this was at the back of somebody's property, we probably would consider it noncontributing. But at the time of the survey, if it was the only structure on the site, then sometimes they get classified as a contributing structure.

But it's, you know, kind of secondary in
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nature, and it's had some alterations to it, as you can see in some of these photos that are very close-up, but we'll get to one that you can actually see the whole thing.

So between the condition and the alterations and its kind of secondary function and design, we felt that we could support this application.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any questions for staff?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, is the applicant here?
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing.

If you would state your name and address, and she will swear you in.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Peter King, 404 West 70th Street, Jacksonville, Florida.

THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right hand for me, please.

MR. KING: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the
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truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. KING: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. KING: Real briefly, I represent Michael. Michael is here. I wasn't planning on him being here, but he is here. We support staff's recommendation, and we will be available for any questions the Commission may have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Motion to approve COA-18-21089 for demolition.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Does anybody have any objections here?

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No objection.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: No.
THE CHAIRMAN: Then I'm going to take a
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vote.
All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you passed COA-18-21089, 1515 Boulevard.

And we'll move right along to COA-18-21096, 1810 Dancy Street.

Do we have a staff report?
MS. SHEPPARD: Just a second.
Okay. This application is -- has three parts to it, the first part being an improvement to the right-of-way area to provide some parking, the second being the removal of a concrete pad that is currently covering over what would have been traditionally the front yard. The third is related to an actual alteration to the main structure, which is a noncontributing structure, just for the record.

So there's -- it's a quadruplex, so it's got doors on the bottom and doors at the top. And you see this little recess that's created by the walkway for the upstairs? The alteration would be to kind of fill that space,
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to enclose that space basically for interior, and that addresses some runoff issues that the applicant is currently having.

Our recommendation was to approve in part and deny in part, or approve with conditions rather, for the enclosure and for the removal of that cement slab, which we were completely fine with.

The condition related to the enclosure was that -- the applicant provided three options for how that would be designed, and we are conditioning that they go with the plain stucco exterior versus one that had some timbering detail that was a little more Tudor inspired and one that had horizontal siding. So stick with stucco to match the existing. And that the windows and doors have exterior raised profile muntins. I believe that's actually what he was proposing, but just to make sure that happens, that's also in there. And then our normal conditions as it relates to minor changes and reviewing the plans.

When it comes to the improvement at the right-of-way, this was kind of a complicated request application for us because the design
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regulations talk about how these right-of-way areas were historically greenspaces, basically that the front yard as written is bisected by the sidewalk, and both sides of the sidewalk would be a greenspace, grassed or landscaped.

When it comes to residential structures, we've been very consistent from a staff standpoint recommending that those stay green areas. Now, that's easier to do if there's a curb. In this case, there is no curb, so people have been parking in that area at least back to 2007, based on the Google photos.

Now, this building or this site does have a parking structure at the back of the property and a paved area at the back of it that, from our perspective, could accommodate parking for the residents. That's not optimal according to the applicant. He says that, I guess, there's no doors on the rear of the property. The entry would be on the front, and so they are having to walk around, but nonetheless that's where our design regulations say parking should occur, is at the rear of the structure, at the rear of the site.

All that said, this is immediately
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adjacent to The Shoppes of Avondale. This is at Dancy and St. Johns. So if you're familiar with the cupcake place on the corner, I guess, Monty's is a bar establishment across the street, and then there's some shops at the other corner. You can tell from the aerial that there is right-of-way parking pretty much all around him, and regardless of where he has his tenants park, somebody is probably going to park in that right-of-way area, as they have been doing that for quite a while.

This is a little different than other applications that we have recommended on and that the Commission has heard. Even though this is a residential building, it is commercially zoned and it's noncontributing. So, you know, I suppose some changes could happen there at some point, but that's not his intent. It's residentially used today, but it does have those kind of unique qualities to this property and their situation.

So our recommendation is based on our read of the design regulations and staying consistent with how we've approached this type of request in the past, but I just want to
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bring those to your attention.

I know the applicant is here to speak as well.

We've had -- something else that's mentioned in the report is that he has tried other things to kind of improve the appearance of that right-of-way, including some planters which people ran into and broke, and he has investigated the concept of getting it curbed, which I think the adjacent property owner is also interested in, to keep people from parking along that street, but that is more complicated than the applicant or for a homeowner to do something. I mean, that requires the City to look at drainage issues and that type of thing. So they were not under the impression that that was going to be something that was going to happen any time in the near foreseeable future. No funding for it.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
Does anybody have questions for staff?
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I do. You first.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, I'm confused. I'm not sure what they are asking for in the right-of-way.
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ri right-of-way side of the sidewalk and the introduction of two parallel spots in the right-of-way area.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: What's this picture? Is it the one with the cars on it?

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I think it's older because there's a fence out front.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Is that just gravel or dirt?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's dirt.

MS. SHEPPARD: The current conditions are -- it's a dirt area that has a planter that's currently located in the right-of-way. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So, again, through the Chair, what are they asking to do? Are they asking to put this 1 - to 3 -foot landscape panel and then have two parallel spaces in front?

MS. SHEPPARD: We would be approving the drawing, the proposed drawing, that shows that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
space being reworked with a brick paver.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Where is the brick paver in this drawing?

MS. SHEPPARD: It's --
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: In the parallel spaces.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: In the parallel spaces. Okay.

MS. SHEPPARD: Yes. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: And then where are the sidewalks to the front doors of the new enclosure?

MS. SHEPPARD: Those are not shown on the plan.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Did you say to the front doors?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Well, that's being enclosed in the front, which I'm assuming was front doors, but we can ask the applicant.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: There's 4-foot-wide fencing on both sides.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, but it doesn't happen to the middle where there seems to be doors, though.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: We can ask the
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applicant.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So staff is suggesting approval of this sketch or --

MS. SHEPPARD: Our recommendation is approve in part -- approve with conditions in part for the enclosure and the removal of the concrete pad that's in the front yard and deny in part for the changes to the right-of-way area.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Got it. Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I have a question for staff. You mentioned that they were having drainage issues.

MS. SHEPPARD: Yes. And the applicant would speak better to that, but there were pictures provided. The current flap walkway that's above, the water kind of goes around it and into that recessed area, which has caused some pooling and rot at the bottom of the doors as I understand it.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Right. And what we're suggesting with your staff approval is to put a door there and a window there, so I'm
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1 likely going to suggest maybe adding some sort of roof structure also may have the same issue.

MS. SHEPPARD: Good point.
Through the Chair, the drawing reflects a slight recess for that enclosure, and the intent of the applicant, as I understand it, is to go back with some type of awning that would provide a little bit of cover in that area.

And awnings are something we can do administratively, so it wasn't part of this application, but my understanding is that he kept that recess so he could tuck in the awning for it to come out, so the water would shed from the walkway above to the awning.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Right. That's my only concern.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Does anybody else have questions for staff?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Open the public hearing.

Is the applicant here?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up.
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(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: If you'll state your name and address, and she will swear you in.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Adrian Gregory, 1810
Dancy Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32205.
THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right hand for me, please.

MR. GREGORY: (Complies.)
HE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. GREGORY: Yes.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: How are you?
MR. GREGORY: Oh, good.
Yeah, that's why the recess, was hopefully
to put the awning so the water would shed off
of that because it's like this now and it drips up under, and all that stucco keeps falling. And it also kind of seeps into the door frame, which has caused swelling, especially during hurricanes. And the doors really jammed up so you couldn't get them open, and when you did get them open, you couldn't get them closed
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anything else along St. Johns as far as the amount of usage. There's also parking in the right-of-way further up Dancy at South Kitchen. That one is parallel. We're hoping to go with parallel because it's kind of a bottleneck through there. They're using it as angled parking now, but the right-of-way isn't actually wide enough for angled parking, so this would be a step in that direction, hopefully to start changing the neighborhood so it's more functional.

I think one thing that is key to know is, whether or not this is approved, people are going to park there. They're not going to stop. They have always been parking there, people that go to the bar, in the right-of-way. And, I mean, that's -- I think the picture somebody mentioned was around -- I don't know when it was from, but they have been parking there, I can attest, personally, since like ' 85 when I started going there, so it's not going to change. And I'm really just trying to beautify it and --
(Timer notification.)
MR. GREGORY: Was that my -- was that for
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1 me to stop talking? pictures are a litte confusing because in the one picture from 7, you've got the white
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fence.
MR. GREGORY: I believe it's hard to say because it's covered with dirt. It's very weedy right now because it's been so rainy, but it's covered with dirt essentially, and it's a good layer of dirt. I believe since the one right to the right of it is also paved, it was also paved, the one right in front of mine was also paved, that part of the right-of-way, but they kind of -- I think they just neglected it and stopped paving it.

When I say I think it was paved, I think maybe in the '70s it might have been paved. The one section of the road just to the right of me which is still pretty much paved, you can tell that -- not within the last ten years, but it's been repaved at some point, you know, not more than 20 years ago. And the -- so, yes, I think so, but I don't know for sure.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, thank you.
MR. GREGORY: Yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we have questions.

MR. GREGORY: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody else here
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to speak on this COA?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
Come on up.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Nancy Powell, 1848 Challen Avenue, Jacksonville 32205.

THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right hand for me, please.

MS. POWELL: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MS. POWELL: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MS. POWELL: Thank you.
I'm here on behalf of Riverside Avondale Preservation, and this particular intersection at Dancy and St. Johns Avenue is one of the several intersections that RAP has been actually working on over the past six months, or years actually, as far as safety concerns and some other things, and Adrian called us kind of as we were looking at some of those. And so this kind of dovetailed into that, and
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we've spent some time on it.
We wouldn't normally support taking away a right-of-way to put parking, but this is a very unique situation. You can see from that parking that the angled parking actually is really quite a dangerous thing. What we're dealing with on the other side of the street with Monty's is that people are parking angled going the wrong way, so they are going against the traffic parking, and then they're parking on this side of the street also.

Next door to Adrian's property towards Pine Street is a green right-of-way. It has no curb, and people park on their greenspace, so it's a continuing problem.

Our position is the concrete in front of his house is -- sorry, Adrian, it's really ugly, so to take that out is really going to help that property, and our goal at this point is to make that space look good.

And people are going to park there anyway if he paves it, and parallel parking is probably more -- it allows for -- the road is not that wide, so people should not be angled parking there. And so two parallel spaces, it
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still is public right-of-way. Anybody can park there, but it will allow for landscaping on either side.

We're doing a tree initiative. In fact, that's why he called. He wanted to do some trees. So we feel this is something we should make an exception for in this case, primarily because of the commercial environment that he is right across from.

The drive-thru comes right out really right here across the street from his, and the two other right-of-ways are really not very well-maintained either, the one in front of Monty's or the one on the side of the gift shop.

So the issue of the curbing, you know, that was like a year ago. They were trying to do that. And once they start curbing along Pine Street, then they start talking about drainage and putting in stormwater. And that's further down, and then it just becomes like you can't do it. So this is a compromise, and we feel like it's a good one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Is anybody else here to speak on this COA?
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I make a motion to approve COA-18-21096 with staff conditions -wait. Hold on. I apologize. Why does it say deny on my sheet?

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: It's deny in part and approve in part.

MR. POPOLI: I believe there's a mistake on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. Thank you.
Then approve with conditions is my motion.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Motion is on the floor.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the staff or maybe through counsel, the right-of-way deals with parking, parking space depths, proper turning, proper parking spaces, safety, flow of traffic, all items that I think are outside of the scope of the Historic Preservation Commission, and I personally don't want to be recommending or denying proper parking spaces
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and such, so it seems like that is something that should be by some other department. I don't know who that is.

MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, you're right. There are other aspects of this approval that will go through engineering and right-of-way permitting. You're really making a determination on whether or not you think the parking itself is appropriate in front of the structure because that is something that's addressed in the design guidelines. You're also looking at potentially the paver that he's proposing, the size and shape of the paver, but you're not necessarily going to be the final authority on the size, the width, the depth, how it's constructed.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay.
MS. ELLER: Mr. Chair, if it gives you more comfort, we can include the disclaimer that to the extent the Historic Preservation Commission can approve it, it would be an approval and explaining that other departments within the City -- that it's subject to all other permitting, et cetera, along those lines.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's perfect.
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So the stucco design, as long as the applicant is okay with that and staff's okay with that, I'm okay with it.

It seems parallel parking makes the most sense because it sounds like people park there regardless. Even if you landscape it, people will park there. I don't know if anybody else has thoughts, but that to me makes the most sense.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I agree. And I think that would go to the thing of what is safe, what is proper parking clearances. And it appears that parallel is more safe, but we should have engineers confirm that. So I would agree with the Chair that parallel parking appears to be the best method, so maybe the next question is, is it a brick paver? Are there other pavers on this street? Are we setting a precedent for now all parking that's in right-of-way needs to have a paver?

THE CHAIRMAN: That would be a question for staff, I would think.

MS. SHEPPARD: We didn't address the materials on this because we were recommending a denial in general. But when it comes to

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
driveways, a brick paver is one of the approved forms from a historic precedent. A lot of the streets were brick to begin with, so the only thing that we would probably ask for you to consider adding is that we would normally condition that paver be a rectangular form and a consistent rectangular form, not like some of the pavers available now that come in three different shapes and stuff. It's based on a historic brick form, so usually our language is 4-by-8 up to a 6-by-9, I believe, brick-style paver in a traditional color.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: There's a page in there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MS. SHEPPARD: I believe that the visual that the applicant provided was not a consistent size, and that's why I would ask that to be consistent with how we normally process these things, and the basis for allowing the paver in the first place is the brick streets.

THE CHAIRMAN: Does anybody else have anything to add?
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COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Well, just my two bits. On the roof, some sort of roof over the front doors, and then as far as the parallel parking goes, yeah, it's -- you know, I agree. I think this commission has approved parking in front of a structure with pavers in that area, and I believe the applicant has provided new greenspace instead of the concrete, so I believe that would help the parking in the area. Plus, it still remains public parking, so it's not dedicated for those residents. So I think as far as safety goes, it seems to be a good compromise.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, I would just add that the access to the front units, if you look at the picture, appears to be kind of a Y -shaped sidewalk that begins as a single sidewalk at the right-of-way and then forks off two ways. That seems to be an appropriate solution as to reduce the amount of concrete and provide a -- maybe it's even curving. I'm not sure. So I would recommend that this center access be the way it was in this picture, which is on page -- I don't know.
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construction. Why would you approve the
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COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: 411.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: It's on the page of the front elevation.

And through the Chair to staff, this is a noncontributing structure, so do we really have jurisdiction to tell him whether he can enclose that front thing or not?

MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, we review alterations to noncontributing structures. I guess you can think of it as you can always make something worse. And the only thing that the ordinance code states as far as separating them would be if they wanted to demolish it. That would not go to you.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. So as long as we are not making it worse, we kind of go along with it?

MS. SHEPPARD: In our review, if there was something being proposed that might, I don't know, affect the setback or drastically change the style to something that would not be appropriate, then those are all things we would still have jurisdiction over because you might not approve the resulting design under new
alterations to make a design that you wouldn't approve otherwise?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: One more quick question. Right here it's written that the recommendation is to deny in part for the right-of-way parking. So that's not anything that we're voting on, right?

MS. ELLER: My understanding is that the motion made to begin with to begin discussion was to approve in its entirety, including the right-of-way request, with the conditions that were originally placed in the staff report for the items that were approved in part for conditions.

And then what I've heard so far that would require someone to move an amendment would be three items. First, what I mentioned, which would be that the right-of-way be subject to all other permitting and that your approval is limited to your jurisdiction as HPC. Second, that the brick pavers shall be a consistent paver, rectangular in shape, that is consistent with the previous roads and a traditional color. And then the third is that the sidewalk
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from the right-of-way shall be consistent with the Y -sidewalk that's depicted already in the report.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: So I'm going to need an amendment if everyone is good with this, the three amendments she just discussed.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: So that's really saying that we would approve the right-of-way based on these conditions, versus staff who's denying?

THE CHAIRMAN: Correct.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So I'll make an amendment to approve the right-of-way -- do I need to repeat your conditions?

MS. ELLER: I think they are sufficient in
the record.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Based on counsel's conditions.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, I'll take a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
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vote on the amended motion.
All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you passed COA-18-21069.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: -96. THE CHAIRMAN: Or -96, excuse me. And we will move right along.
COA-18-21064, 2900 College Street, do we have a staff report?

MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes, we do.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, hang on, Christian. Does anyone have any ex-parte to declare? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Yes. I met with -I forgot your name. Alex, Alex Sifakis, that's right. I met with him yesterday at my office, and we discussed the general project and my thoughts on the different elevations and, you know, the possible issues that may come up, and that's about it.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I met with Alex a month ago and discussed the project.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 trying to do a PUD zoning to allow them to
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address the setbacks and lot sizes that would otherwise be in conflict with the traditional zoning and also address some of the issues related to the overlay.

Because it's going to be a PUD, we run into the issue with the Green Street project, where you have, I believe, 15 or 16 individual lots, and each house, each design, has come in as an individual COA. The complication for the developer is that when they go to sell that property, they come to you, we may, as staff, put conditions on the design, you approve them, they have to then go back with those conditions, redesign the property, and get the buyer to agree to them. It also makes a great deal of work for you guys if we have eight or ten of these designs that come before you, and like the last time, we have to lay them out and look at them.

Here what we're trying to do is approve or bring to you guys for approval the design of the project in its entirety and then each of the proposed designs for the houses that they are going to have as models to offer the buyers. Once you have approved or not approved
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1 or conditioned the approval of those designs, 2 the applicant would come in with an approved
design on a specific lot where they want to place that, and staff would have the option to then approve that administratively, because, in effect, you have approved the design. We're just approving the details and its location and then verifying that it meets the conditions that you set on that particular piece of property.

This would allow the applicant to presell the design, come to us for the approval, and there wouldn't be any substantial changes because you have approved the designs. And then it would also give us, as the City, assurances that we know what those designs are going to be because your approval will then be incorporated into the PUD as architectural guidelines.

So the entirety of what can be approved on the project will be incorporated into the zoning, and any changes they want to make to that would require a rezoning of the property or at least a modification of that zoning, which we would either go through staff,
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Planning Commission or potentially the full City Council.

So you will have a great deal of assurance that what you approve is what will be there. Even if the project changes hands, even if it stops for a period of time and comes back, that would be what's approved there.

So with that in mind, the applicant has brought together an overall concept and then a series of designs for the structures.

We have met with the applicant a number of times. They have met with RAP a number of times. So you may know there was an addendum I sent out at the beginning of the week that included some newer renderings. Those were based on conversations they had with staff and some of the conditions we proposed, and I'll go through the designs.

First, I want to go through the project and explain the concept and our conditions of the project, and then we'll get into the houses themselves.

So again, this is the location (indicating). You have Roosevelt Boulevard here, railroad tracks. This is that industrial
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1 facility. There's a commercial nightclub here 2 and then residences along the back, single-family. There's a multifamily complex here and then more single-family here. It's a very mixed bag of uses in the area.

Currently, it has a PUD zoning and a commercial land use. The commercial land use requires a mix of uses, so the original PUD had this office and residential component. Part of what will move forward after this stage will be a rezoning and an amendment to the land use, so there's a few more months of policy work that's going to go into this. Again, the current zoning is PUD.

This is the previously approved project (indicating). It had two multifamily mixed use buildings. You will notice this line here. The original right-of-way for this property, for this odd intersection here, actually included this portion as road, or at least a public right-of-way.

The applicant has purchased this back from the City, but that will be important in one of the designs on the project in itself.

Again, this is what was previously
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
approved, 24 residential units with offices (indicating).

This is the current proposed layout for the project (indicating). The concept is a 20-lot subdivision with an interior courtyard. That right-of-way issue I mentioned is -- the result is this small park on the corner, which addresses some of the visibility issues of this very odd intersection, so that's why you kind of have this vacant lot here. That's park space.

Because of both the nature of the project and the nature of the historic district, the houses have very different designs on the front and back. The ones to the rear are going to access off of the improved alley that the applicant will construct. They will have attached garages that will be entered into off the alley, and then the house itself will technically front on the courtyard.

The properties on the front, front lots, so to speak, have frontage on the courtyard, but then, because of the historic nature of the district, will have frontage on College Street as well, so the house will basically have two
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fronts.
This is in an attempt to engage the street, as a house would traditionally, even though the majority of the public space from the subdivision's standpoint would be the interior. So what you're going to see is there are proposed designs that reflect these front lots and then proposed designs for the rear, and it will make some sense when you see them.

This is just an example of sort of the College Street layout of what the houses potentially could look like (indicating).

One of the things we're going to discuss is -- we have a condition related to how the houses are laid out on the lots with regards to replicating designs. So this is obviously just an idea. We're not sure which houses are going to go where. And then again, some examples of what the street frontage would look like for these designs. And then those rear frontages that face the park on the front lots would also have fronts to them.

And then to the rear, you would have these slightly wider fronts to the houses that are on the park and then to the rear the alley
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entrance garage and a rear elevation, so to speak.

You'll have an -- again, a central courtyard with fencing that will be interior space to the subdivision itself and then the two rows of houses.

There's an issue apparently with the current postal code requirements that they have to have a combined mailbox, which is what they are showing here (indicating).

And then some landscape plans for both the street front and then the interior to the courtyard itself. And the applicant can go through this in more detail.

So these were the original designs (indicating). In the report, I've noted them by the name of the design. Basically, this reflects the square footage of the project, the proposed house, and then the name. So you will see some of the same numbers but different names associated with them. And I'll go through these in some more detail.

I just want to note that these were the original designs, and then the addendum I sent out includes updated designs. And we'll go
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1 through that in more detail, but these are the same proposed houses with changes that reflect some of our conditions.

So to go through our conditions on the project itself, fundamentally -- and I'm going to read through them, and then we could probably talk about those first.

We're recommending the condition that the front setback be 10 feet. The setbacks in the area are a bit of a mixed bag because of the circumstances of the mix of uses. This multifamily has a zero lot.

A lot of what we're basing our recommendations on are the surrounding single-family residential, because that's really the context they are trying to replicate and we're reviewing basically.

The side setbacks, obviously there's a lot of flexibility in the overlay with side setbacks, but generally both zoning and design regulations talk about being sensitive to the surrounding setbacks for the contributing structures.

Doing a quick survey of aerial maps and general measurements, it appears that the
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average distance between the residential structures both on the back of this block and continuing on past the multifamily to the east is about 20 feet per structure, between structures. So knowing that there's some flexibility in how they want to lay out the project, we're conditioning that the average distance between the structures be 20 feet.

One of the justifications that we came up with that allows this project to function conceptually in the district is you do see as kind of a common design layout a primary home with typically a garage that may or may not have an apartment conversion or apartment above it. So taking that as an inspiration, we thought that generally you have a house and a structure behind it that replicates that design.

Our main contention is that the houses on the front are significantly narrower than the houses on the rear, which is sort of a reversal of what you typically find, so we're conditioning that either the front lot houses be wider or the rear lot houses be narrower.

With the increase in setbacks, the average

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
distance here, I believe, is somewhere between 10 and 15 feet. If these were all 20 feet, you would have a little bit more room to widen those designs.

We did recommend -- there's a potential solution in converting this to a single-car garage and then narrowing the rear house because at this point you're going to see between these houses, these other houses kind of jutting out, so they don't really meet that subordinate for an accessory structure.

We had concern with the -- this came up in the discussion of the project on College Street and others similar to this, where there was generally -- although there is diversity in the designs, it really doesn't -- when you compare them all in totality, it doesn't really give you enough diversity because they are all two-story, they all have partial width porches, so we conditioned that they provide an elevation for a one-and-a-half-story and that it be used at least once along this front lot layout.

In the addendum that I sent out, there is a one-and-a-half-story design they proposed to
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reflect that condition.
We asked for more diversity in the design of the front porches along College Street. As you can see from this rendering which reflects all the designs that they have, they are all, at most, half-width porches. Our interpretation of what they proposed is that, because these porches are somewhat recessed into the footprint, you can see here they reflect what is a somewhat common condition where you have sort of a partially enclosed front porch and maybe an enclosed upper story porch, which is something you do find in the district. And it's an interesting interpretation, but again you do have kind of a lack of diversity here.

One of the things that we recommended was that -- if you look, the house really is a rectangle. So if this footprint were reversed, you would end up with almost a full-width porch on College Street. Something along those lines may not be a complete redesign for them, but it would give you more of that diversity.

The project proposes -- there's a picture -- some neighborhood identification
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signage. We get that this is a new project and there's some identity they want to establish, but from the design guidelines this is designed to blend into the district and not be called out as new construction, not detract from the district as a whole, so we conditioned there be no identification signage, and it really should be part of the Riverside neighborhood.

As far as the designs for the rear, one of our main concerns -- because, again, these are not terribly visible for the most part -- were these properties on the sides. And you can see from the rendering here they really have no engagement with the street at all. The front of the house faces the park; the rear is on an alley; and these two structures that do face Willow Branch and Rubel Street are just blank walls.

Even if they do add the additional windows that were proposed in the addendum designs, there really is no engagement to the street, so we're asking that they do something to this design so that the front of the house has some engagement like a corner lot structure typically would, whether it's a side entry to
the front porch or a full-width porch that has more engagement to the street, something to that extent.

We have a standard condition for driveways, normally that they not be more than 10 feet in width with a 2-foot flare for the apron. That would be for the College Street properties. The parking for the rear ones is in the garage. In this case, parking for these College Street frontage properties would be in the driveways.

And then our usual condition related to the driveways. You don't see a lot of driveways open to the street, but that they be either concrete ribbons, brick-shaped pavers or some combination thereof but not plain concrete.

In the proposed design, they do have the rear driveways off the alley as being gravel. We've recommended that they not be gravel because it can create some conflicts, particularly if the alley is paved.

We've conditioned that the height of the facades along College Street be varied to replicate the more mixed designs you find
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1 throughout the district. The
t's used
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We've also conditioned that no two of the same designs along College Street be placed next to each other. We don't want to see a situation where you have the same house over and over again.

We conditioned that the rear lot structures not be taller than the house on College Street. Typically, it's a standard zoning condition, so we don't get a lot of it, but accessory structures are never taller than the primary house.

We conditioned that all structures have a common finished floor height of not less than 24 inches. There are no contributing structures on the block to gain context from, but we felt a minimum of 2 feet would be consistent with the neighborhood overall.

They are proposing a sidewalk along the sides and front of the project. Typically, in the district the historic sidewalks were hexagonal pavers. We're conditioning that they use a stamp in the concrete to replicate that. s used commonly throughout the district
where they are replacing plain sidewalk by Public Works, so I thought that was a fair request of the applicant.

And then because we've put some conditions in here that aren't reflected in that addendum, we've basically said any new designs they may come up with would have to come back to the Commission as a minor modification because those are incorporated into the PUD, and we would be approving them administratively, so we would want you to approve the design ahead of time.

And then our normal conditions with regards to minor changes in the final design coming before us.

But most importantly, Number 16, is that by approving this project, you're going to be approving these designs and allowing us to decide the placement, verify that these designs meet your approval with conditions, and the setbacks. So it would be a little bit different than what we normally do, although the concept is very similar.

When you issue a final order, they will bring the plans to us for construction, and we
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right now.
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verify that everything meets the final order before they are allowed to pull their building permit. This would be the same type of situation, but it is granting staff a little bit more authority on these as far as placement and setbacks through the administrative process.

So just to go through the suggestions quickly, again, the rear lot driveways be concrete versus gravel. The rear lot homes having a one-car garage versus two.

We did think if they were reducing the garage size, they might need additional parking for residents, and we thought there might be an opportunity where these landscaped areas and I'm assuming sidewalks could be used as parallel parking spaces to make up for that difference.

That there be additional street trees as part of the development along the major right-of-ways. There really isn't any plan for street planting, and it's been an issue for the district as a whole. There's a replanting process going on through the tree committee

With the Ernest Street project, where we did the six homes on Ernest Street recently, that project was a PUD that actually called for very complex multifamily design that never happened. One of the conditions in that PUD was that they could go back and do the traditional six single-family homes, which is what they ended up doing. We're asking that that be an option placed in the PUD as well because if this project for whatever reason goes bust, the property is platted as seven lots, and this would allow them to build those without having to go back through the PUD process.

And then we want to see that the project is developed in a logical pattern. One concern we have, since the rear lots are separate lots and will be platted separately and sold separately from the front, there is the possibility that the back half of the project could be developed first with this huge setback up front in a more suburban pattern. So that there be some logic in how the project develops for the front and back, either that the front is all built or that the front and back is
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built together so that you don't end up with this potential hodgepodge, and then it doesn't move forward.

And then Number 7, the initial designs had some gables and hips mixed on the front and back of the houses. I'm fairly confident they have addressed that now through the addendum designs, so at this point it's a recommendation.

So I think -- and it's up to you guys, but it's probably good to go through this portion now, before we get into the designs, at least for if you have any questions for me moving forward.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. POPOLI: It's a lot.
THE CHAIRMAN: Does anybody have questions for staff?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: No. I think Christian explained it pretty well.

MR. POPOLI: Okay. Then the latter half of the report, I've done conditions for each design and then included the original rendering that was provided for those designs. I'm going to go through those. On your dais, you'll find
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1 should go along the bottom of the windows as a more traditional element.

On the side elevations for all these designs, there was a general lack of windows to meet our standard conditions of a window every 8 to 10 feet, so we're conditioning that. And then with that, the majority of the windows that would be added, would be vertically oriented, which is a pretty standard condition.

Beyond that, these really are our more traditional conditions with the exception of the -- what is now Number 7.

All of these have pretty much the same door depicted, so we wanted to make sure they have an option for a little more diversity in the front doors because it's a very defining feature for the front facade of the house.

In this case, we are giving them Craftsman style, full or half light. I believe we've added French as well, which didn't make it into this one, but basically just an option for some more diversity.

And then Number 9, again, that these will be approved through that administrative process.
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COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Christian, question. MR. POPOLI: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So you're asking us to approve this now. And then these modifications for like the additional windows and different door styles would be approved when you guys are reviewing them as the building permits are coming through?

MR. POPOLI: Yeah, because those would be fairly minor. Now, if they had a full new facade, that would come back to you. If they came in with a one-and-a-half-story or a one-story, we would bring that back to you. But these sort of conditions, I think they are clear enough that we would look for a window every 8 to 10 feet.

However, when I get done, just going through these, I'm going to bring up the addendum designs because I think they have, for the most part, addressed at least the window condition.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Gotcha.
MR. POPOLI: So moving on to the 1791 Craftsman A. Again, we've added that same condition with the cedar shake. And you can
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see here it's running halfway through the window.

Here you have a mix of -- or not a mix. You have shutters noted on the building. It's not clear what the style of the shutters are. We've simply conditioned that they be traditional louvered style shutters, which would be common in the district if there are shutters.

Again, we have the door condition relating to the options for doors and then the COA administrative approval condition on that one. All the others are the same.

On the Craftsman B, 1791 Craftsman B, again, the consistent -- here the lack of the cedar shake siding being on the rear and right side elevations we thought was a bit atypical for the district.

This does have a second-story porch, so we have added a condition related to the typical railing design with the wood spindles and the enclosure, no exposed ends.

The addition of more windows obviously; the same front door condition; and then the COA administrative approval.
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COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Question. MR. POPOLI: Yes.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: The condition on the 1817 Prairie, the first one, is that the shingle siding shall be applied at the same height as each elevation, generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid-sash? It's different than the earlier two, which I think when you were describing --

MR. POPOLI: Yeah. That's a good point. So that didn't make it either.

Okay. So the intent would be that --
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: You just need to pick up a comma generally.

MR. POPOLI: Yeah. Right. Okay.
So anyplace where that condition was applied, unless for some reason -- in this case, where the cedar shake is applied, they basically met that by having it along the bottom of the window. They just didn't apply it to all the elevations.

But to your point, that probably should be part of the other conditions where it doesn't, so let me make a note. So that would be
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1 something we have to go through and make

2
consistent because we may have missed that. It was a bit to go through all of these.

So that was Craftsman B. I think that's all that's different on that one.

1817 Prairie, I think this is what Commissioner Bramwell was talking about. The first condition there has both elements, which is the applying the shake to all sides evenly and then having it along the bottom of the window. Again, adding windows, having to be vertically oriented, the option for the door, and then administrative approval.

And I apologize, this is tedious.
1817 Craftsman A, this is probably a good example of where I think the intent was -- the beltline of the shake is correct here or at least typical for the majority of the building, but it hasn't been applied evenly. Again, asking for a traditional louvered shutter. Same window conditions as well as our standard window conditions.

Here, the door condition has that additional French option, which we would go and add to the rest of them for diversity. And
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then the administrative approval. I'm just going to keep pointing that out because it is drastically different than what we normally do.

And here you can see our recommendation related to the hip versus gable, which I think they have addressed in the new designs.

This is the 1817 Craftsman B. Now, in this case, the shake is evenly applied and at a correct height, so that condition wasn't there. We do have a railing condition for the second-story porch, the same traditional window conditions, as well as the addition of more windows that are both vertically oriented. And then the door condition and the administrative approval condition.

1805 Prairie, we've got that same -- the more complicated and I think correct condition with the shake beltline both in its application and height, the railing condition because of the second-story porch, windows being added to both side elevations and their vertical orientation, standard window conditions, standard trim conditions, the door condition allowing for diversity, the administrative approval condition.
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## about the brick along the front there?
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COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, Christian, this one appears to have brick on the bottom.

MR. POPOLI: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: It's banding it at mid height of the window?

MR. POPOLI: That's a good point. We didn't address that. So that may be something that --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Or stone. I'm not sure.

MR. POPOLI: I believe in the materials list they provided, it says brick. It does appear to be more of a field stone or PermaStone kind of look, but in what they provided in the other parts of the application they gave us, they indicated brick. So that would be something you could potentially condition or ...

COMMISSIONER KASPER: It was just a question to staff as to where you felt that beltline should be.

MR. POPOLI: It does seem a bit atypical.
Do you have an opinion on this, talking

MR. POPOLI: So to Lisa's comment, it would probably be along the bottom of the windowsill, and then it would somewhat replicate a stem wall, in which case it would probably wrap the building in its entirety. So it would be up to you guys how you want to address that, but I think coming down would make more sense than going up, as far as the height goes.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Yeah, I think coming down. I don't think it has to go around the whole building.

MR. POPOLI: And then to Lisa's point, if it goes to the full second story, then it would also be a common condition.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. Thank you.
MR. POPOLI: The remainder of these conditions, this includes the shutter condition, the railing condition. Although that doesn't make sense, so I would say for this one, 1805 Craftsman, we would probably strike Number 4 because they don't have any railings.

Same condition with the cedar shake. Same
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1 condition with the addition of the windows,
2 vertical orientation. Standard window conditions, the door condition for diversity, and the administrative COA approval. And, of course, this includes our normal final plans and minor design changes, which would address Ryan's comment earlier.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Did you already say that this one would have the hip versus the gable modification, on 1805 ?

MR. POPOLI: That was a recommendation that we had. Like I said, I believe the final designs would -- their addendum designs they have submitted would address that.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay.
MR. POPOLI: I did want to back up for one second and just mention that the -- starting with the 1805 Prairie, these are the rear lot designs versus the front lot designs. You can tell from the difference between the 1817 Craftsman here, where basically the front and rear elevations have porches, to this one, the 1805 Prairie, starts those rear where you have the garage now on the back side that faces the alley. I failed to point that out earlier.
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 houses, side setbacks?

MR. POPOLI: We generally condition them the same for the lots in their entirety because the spacing would be -- traditionally, the rear structures would be substantially smaller, so setbacks usually aren't an issue.

The rear setbacks on the alley we didn't feel was a substantial issue, so I don't know that we addressed it, but I don't know that what they proposed is problematic. Side setbacks would be that same 20 feet generally for the project.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: So based on what I'm seeing here, the side setbacks of the rear structures look to be about 3 feet off the property line, so recommending 20 feet would limit that to, like, four houses back there.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I thought the recommendation was that the rear house would be subordinate to the front house.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Subordinate, yes, but if the recommendation is also that the side setbacks meet 20 feet, now you have four houses
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that are very small in the back.
MR. POPOLI: That's a very good point.
(Mr. Popoli confers with Ms. Sheppard.)
MR. POPOLI: To Lisa's point, I think that
was the intent from staff's discussion originally. If the front houses are spaced apart further, then you would want the rear structures to be subordinate and behind the front houses, so they would -- you would want the same setback. You wouldn't want 3 feet between the larger houses in the rear and then 20 in the front.

And that's a good point. There are some consequences to these decisions that will affect potentially the number of lots and their configuration.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: So sort of like what we decide for the front is going to substantially affect how big the back lots are also going to be?

MR. POPOLI: Right.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Or how many houses they could potentially place there?

MR. POPOLI: Yes.
Let me just find my place here. Give me
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one moment.
All right. So for the 1825 Prairie, where you have the railing condition, the shutter condition -- which doesn't appear to apply, so I would probably strike Number 3 and Number 2. And then the standard -- well, not standard, but the consistent cedar shake beltline issue, the addition of windows, the vertical orientation of the windows, the standard window conditions, door diversity condition, and then the COA administrative approval condition beyond the normal conditions.

And letter J is the 1825 Craftsman. The same consistent beltline, cedar shake condition. We do have shutter conditions here because they are shown on two of the elevations, so they would be louvered shutters. And also the shutters should be applied to all windows on the given elevation or not at all. They seem sporadic, particularly on the side elevation. And, again, addition of windows, vertical orientation, standard window conditions, door diversity condition, administrative COA condition.

So those --
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MS. ELLER: Mr. Chair, if I may for our court reporter and for drafting the order later, on the different elevations requested labeled A through J, what I've heard from staff with regard to overall amendments to these proposed conditions is that for any condition related to the beltline and shake shingle siding, it would also include the words after the comma, which are generally along the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing the windows mid sash.

All door conditions would also include the language Craftsman-style, French half or full light so that they are all half or full-light doors, so they are all consistent with one another.

We would strike any railing conditions that do not apply, that have been included for elevations that have no need for railing conditions. Strike any shutter conditions that do not apply because the elevation does not include shutters.

And then the only item I had that would require action at this point from the staff's recommendation point is on Elevation $H$, the
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question of whether or not there would be additional language on the brick banding that is shown as only going halfway down the window.

So from this point here forward, that's what I have as this grouping of conditions.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: One more question for staff. Looking at the floor plans, traditionally with two-story homes the second floor height and the first floor height are the same in this area.

MR. POPOLI: You mean the interior height?
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Interior, yeah. I
noticed the second floor is 8 feet, and the
first floors are all at 9 feet, and I'm
wondering about that.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: It's often --
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I know for newer homes it's like that, but for --

MR. POPOLI: We don't typically get into interior design unless it has an impact on the exterior.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay.
MR. POPOLI: So if you think that results
in something on the exterior that's
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problematic, we could have a condition related to that, but we really are just concerned with that exterior facade.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay.
MR. POPOLI: All right.
THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to take a 10-minute break.
(Brief recess.)
THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go ahead and continue on here, and I'm guessing we're going right into the addendum?

MR. POPOLI: Yes. Picking up where we left off, I'm going to go through the addendum that we had sent out and then we can talk about the details of it.

As I said, we had met with the applicant prior to the drafting of our total reports. We had given them, generally, the conditions we were talking about. And so this was their response to a lot of that.

First, we had had the condition related to a one-and-a-half-story. So they have proposed this design as a one-and-a-half. They have the overall height at 24 feet. Basically, the side gable design with the front-facing dormer, and
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then to the rear would be the -- a rear-facing gable with a hip roof over the rear porch, which faces the park.

We don't have any side elevations on these, so maybe the applicant has brought something related to that, but I don't know how many conditions to this -- because the report had been released when these came in, but this would address at least the condition of a one-and-a-half-story.

And then -- and that's the floor plan, if Commissioner Lopera has any comments on that.

We had asked for a site plan that shows the dimensions of the lots. They're basically -- it's hard to read, but the lot width here is shown as 36 feet. And they did denote the driveways as being the ribbons. So that addresses that concern as well.

And then these are the updated designs for the floor plans that were shown. I don't know that I can do a side-by-side with this computer, but these are, I believe, in the same order as the ones that we reviewed.

So as related to the conditions for the 1791 Craftsman, you can see that they have --
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the hip roofs are used throughout. There's no mix of those. They have added a number of windows, at least on the right elevation they're -- a majority are vertically oriented. On the left, the -- and give me just a moment to see if I can orient myself here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Christian, are you comparing the Craftsman to the Prairie?

MR. POPOLI: I'm sorry.
THE CHAIRMAN: The first one is the Prairie.

MR. POPOLI: You're right. I'm sorry. So this would be the correct one (indicating).

Okay. So, again, they have added windows to address that concern. They have a main hip roof design with the gable protrusion, so I don't think that that's really an issue.

I don't know that the shutter conditions or the siding conditions were in what we gave them originally, so they haven't necessarily addressed that, but I think those are probably fairly minor in relation to the design. The windows, I think, are more complicated as far as the construction and design goes.

The rest of the conditions I don't know
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that you could really get into because window recess and things like that, you can't tell from the renderings. They do have some different door designs throughout.

Again, I don't know, like I said, the beltline and the cedar shake was in what we gave them originally, so that wasn't really addressed. We can't really discern the railing condition.

They have added windows, and I would say in this case they are -- a majority of them are vertically oriented.

They have a -- again, a similar Craftsman style door, which is correct for a Craftsman design.

You've got an overall hip roof with the front-facing gable, which addresses that concern that we had.

Give me just a moment. Let me just see -okay. So that's the Prairie and then they have the Craftsman. I just wanted to make sure they were all included. I apologize.

So we're on the 1817 Prairie. So here, again, they have taken that window condition into consideration. They've got a different
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door design for some diversity. The roof form is more consistent.

The 1817 Craftsman A --
THE CHAIRMAN: If you're going down the list on the printed sheet --

MR. POPOLI: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: My second one is the 1791 Craftsman as is on the addendum.

MR. POPOLI: So the Prairie, Craftsman A.
MS. ELLER: So I have the 1624 Craftsman one-and-a-half-story would be an additional elevation, and then starting with Slide 2, the 1791 Prairie would equate to $A$ elevation on the list handed out. 1791 Craftsman A would be B. 1791 Craftsman B would be C. 1817 Prairie would be D. 1817 Craftsman A would be E. 1817 Craftsman B would equate to F. 1805 Prairie would be G. 1805 Craftsman would be H. 1825 Prairie would be I. 1825 Craftsman would be J.

And then at the pleasure of the Commission you could include the 1624 Craftsman additional elevation, one-and-a-half-story as the next lettered elevation, which would be K, upon labeling.

MR. POPOLI: I think, generally, they have
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addressed, through a majority of these, the roof concerns and the window concerns. Obviously, we haven't gone through and done a full evaluation of the windows, but I would say generally that they've attempted to meet that condition. There may be some windows that need to be more vertically oriented to meet those conditions overall.

And I don't know the total spacing on these, but, I think, again, generally, they've tried to meet that condition, again, with the roof forms as well for the most part.

This one I think is the outlier, where you have a mix of roof forms, but, again, that was a recommendation, not a condition.

So, overall, I think they have attempted to resolve that as best they could.

So I don't see anything glaringly different as far as anything new that we didn't address, and I think they've tried to address most of the conditions that we had on the designs, at least the ones that they had in advance that they could address. And I think the ones that are remaining are probably aesthetically addressed as opposed to design,
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1 so -- the location of siding and trim is not
2 structural to the house, so they probably could
address those fairly simply.
Okay. So that is our overall staff review. We're recommending the project and the designs with conditions.

I don't know -- obviously, you want to take the applicant's presentation, but do you have any overreaching comments or questions at this point for staff?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, my only question is the rear houses versus the front houses and the setbacks. So you made a statement that there would be an average of a 20-foot distance between homes, which is historically what you found in the area, and assuming that applies to the front homes that are on College Street. So maybe not now, but for me, my discussion is regarding the rear houses, that maybe they're different. They don't -- so --

MR. POPOLI: I did want to clarify -that's a good point, though. In the discussion, the question of setback versus separation between the structures got a little Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
mixed. We're not recommending a 20 -foot setback on the sides. We're recommending an average separation of the houses of being 20 feet.

The side setbacks in the district tend to be fluid in that they're looked at as -- you know, on one side it might be 4 feet, on the other side it might be 8 feet. In this case, you may be looking at 15 and 5 or 16 and 4, something like that.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, but your recommendation is what?

MR. POPOLI: That there be an average of 20 feet between the structures on the sides.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: For the front houses?

MR. POPOLI: Overall.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: And the rear houses?
MR. POPOLI: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, the subordination has been referenced when talking about garage apartments behind single-family homes on one single lot, but this is a different situation because you have two
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1 single-family homes that are next to each other. So I'm curious as to how you came up with a subordination for these rear single-family homes that have their own alley access, which, you know, could become a street later on or whatnot, and how you applied that to this particular situation because I'm -- you know, in the past when we've referenced subordination it's been for garages and garage apartments.

MR. POPOLI: Certainly. I think you have to get to the point where you look at the project in the lens of what is traditional in the district. What you would traditionally find in the district is not two single-family homes behind each other. You would find a primary house with a garage apartment. And this, with the two structures linearly, replicates that design overall. It's a stretch, but we feel like you get there at least in concept. But to make that really work within the design guidelines, the rear house would have to, in some way, address that subordination like you would find with a garage apartment.
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1 height, not necessarily story. So this, being a 24 -foot-high structure, could very well have a two-story behind it. Particularly with a side-facing gable, it wouldn't necessarily stand out, provided it was also 24 feet or lower.

## COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Right.

MR. POPOLI: And it was a condition. It was Number 13 under the general conditions. Rear lot structures shall not be taller than the College Street front homes.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, procedurally, is that something we would discuss after the applicant or -- because that's probably my biggest hang-up -- or not hang-up but what I'd like to discuss is the rear versus the front.

MS. ELLER: My recommendation would be to be consistent with the previous applications, and at this time, since we've concluded what has been a very lengthy staff report, to bring it into the public hearing/applicant component, and then move something forward and then get into discussion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have questions
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for staff?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll open up the public hearing. Is the applicant here?
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
AUDIENCE MEMBER: I am.
THE CHAIRMAN: If you'd state your name and address, please.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Alex Sifakis, 340 8th Street, Atlantic Beach, Florida.

Thank you, Christian. That was a very extensive overview of the project. I probably couldn't have done it better myself.

THE CHAIRMAN: She's going to swear you in real quick.

MR. SIFAKIS: Oh, sorry.
THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.

MR. SIFAKIS: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. SIFAKIS: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
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MR. SIFAKIS: So I have some packets to pass out. I guess you guys have most of the other items. What I didn't see put up there was the new overall site plan. I'd like to just pass this out.
(Tenders documents.)
All right. So as you can see, this is a fairly large and extensive project. I really appreciate everyone's time and comments and input.

So we worked on this for over a year and a half. We've had many different meetings with the City, with council people, people in the district, with RAP, and everyone has been very positive. I think we've come to a project that is a good mix of what was allowed to be there before, a 24 -unit apartment building, which -the area is zoned commercial -- and the residential use.

So we have a reduction in density, and so we are -- you know, what we're requesting is more dense than what would -- was residential there, but less dense than what the property is actually zoned for.

So we don't expect to have to -- to abide
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by the, you know, single-family residential that it's adjacent to because it's zoned commercial and is currently zoned for a 24-unit apartment complex.

The idea for the courtyard homes actually came from RAP. We've had three or four meetings with them, a lot of input to get to this point, so we definitely appreciate that, and their -- what I hope will be positive comments on the project.

We pulled the house designs straight from the neighborhood. Just to kind of preempt a lot of discussion, we will agree to and have already put into account just about all of the suggestions on the actual floor plans and on the exterior elevations. So I have two comments on those, but, by and large, we agree with and we can do everything that the City suggested as far as the elevations go.

We have one more thing to pass out to you.
(Tenders documents.)
So this is the suggestions from the City on what we -- you know, what they recommended. And so there was 25 suggestions -- or conditions and suggestions. We have already
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inputted or can agree to 20 of them, so over 80 percent. There is some that just don't work with the project.
(Timer notification.)
MR. SIFAKIS: So going to courtyard homes versus the traditional residential development, we're incurring significant costs, so we have to put in new water lines, new sewer lines, we're paving the alley, we have significant hardscape expense. So the project does not work with the reduced density that the City is asking for.

And they didn't technically ask for reduced density, but when you take side setbacks down from 3 foot, which is what would be approved in a traditional RMD-A zoning is a 3-foot side setback, which is what we have on the rear homes, the project just doesn't work if you go to 20 feet between the homes. We wouldn't be able to move forward at all.

So I actually highlighted the -- under the City's recommendations. So the first three just don't work for the project because we need to get 19 homes in order for it to work, and it would be impossible to abide by those
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conditions and get the 19 homes.
And I will say that we're not even trying to max out density. We could have done 20. That lot that we're using for, you know, an increased community space, it could have been -- there wasn't actually any right-of-way restrictions. We had the project plan where we could do 20. We wanted to add an additional community space. Obviously, these projects don't have traditional backyards. It's more of a community backyard, which we've been having a lot of positive response to, but we thought that changing that lot from a home to more of a, you know, dog park/backyard kind of feel would work, but we do need to get 19.

The other ones, if they're in -- if they're highlighted in green or in yellow -- so green we've already done, and you will see that on the new plans when we go through it. Yellow we will agree to. So we can do the conditions that we can agree to.

The suggestion for the rear homes to have a one-car garage and be skinnier and subordinate wouldn't work financially for the project.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
additional gate there.
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And then we're actually okay -- so the -so Suggestion 5, where we have part of the traditional uses in a PUD, that if the project doesn't go forward allow that to be -- somebody build a single-family traditional pattern, I mean, that's fine, but we couldn't move forward with the project in that manner.

So now if you guys could just open up that packet, I kind of want to go through some of the changes we've already made and then go through a couple of requests that we have.

So you can see -- Christian kind of went through how -- where it was zoned and what was there before.

I guess if you flip to the first site plan, probably Page 8 or so. So as you can see, we've already made a lot of the changes that were requested. We removed the signage for the entry feature. We did add street activation for all of the homes on the side where the porches were turned to be facing the street. And for the rear homes, we actually added a sidewalk leading directly from the sidewalk to the home, and we're going to add an

So there will be a main gate in the courtyard, so each -- there will be a gate here (indicating) and a gate here. And then for the rear homes there will be an additional gate just to really give that street activation from those rear homes.

And then the front properties will have porches on each side so that the houses aren't closed off to the street.

These lots are 36 feet wide. The Green Street development that was approved just north of there did both 35- and 40 -foot lots, so the width of the lots are pretty consistent with that project.

And then with the -- if you flip to -well, actually -- so for the one-and-a-half-story home -- I know you guys have seen both of these, but this is actually not a rear elevation. These are the two front elevations of this home. So we didn't have time -- we put this together in the last week. We didn't have time to do the side elevations, but that's one potential front elevation and this is the other potential elevation (indicating).
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So another comment that -- we actually agreed with the comment that was made where -I think we need a lot of diversity on the street. You don't want to see the same plan over and over again. We actually have eight different plans, potential plans for these nine front lots. So you have two floor plans, each with three elevations. So you get six potential houses. And then you have the one-and-a-half-story with two elevations, another two, so eight total. So we thought that eight potential homes for nine lots was a significant amount of diversity.

Any questions on any of that?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
MR. SIFAKIS: Okay. So, lastly, there was three things in the conditions for the homes that I wanted to discuss.

So there was a condition for a 24 -foot height, but typically, and what we've done in other infill projects in the historic districts, is done a three-course stem wall, which -- of course, a block is 8 inches, so that would be 24, but the first course is actually normally partly below grade. So it Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
would typically be more like an 18 - to 20 -inch finished floor height, not 24. At 24, you have to do a 4-course block, which we, to my knowledge, haven't done and isn't typical on infill projects. So we'd like to ask that be reduced to 18 inches, which will still give three -- you know, you still have three steps. It still will fit in with all the other new construction that I know we've done in the neighborhood, but it won't require us to do four courses. That's also just a significant price increase. And, as I alluded to, we want to be able to go forward with this project.

We did -- if you look at the elevations, we've added a lot of detail that is expensive. So with the bump-outs, with corbels, with brackets, things like that to really give these things a historic character, we're not -- you know, we're not pinching pennies on those items. So just hoping we can get some consideration there.

So going to the comments on the 1791
Craftsman B, and then all of the other projects that have this condition, which were the beltline comments, so we're fine with that.
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1 We're fine dropping it down below the window and taking it all the way around. We would just ask that the buyers could potentially substitute either a board and batten or a lap above that line instead of being locked into doing a shake. So we can change the look of the outside. We just like the option, in addition to what's on the elevations, which is shake, to do board and batten or Hardie lap above that beltline.

Every other condition on the actual house elevations we have already done or can agree to.

And that's about all I have.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: What was the verdict on the stacked stone? Are some of these actually -- are you anticipating that or --

MR. SIFAKIS: No. It's all going to be brick. So in the new floor plans, we actually change out all the stacked stone with brick.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay.
MR. SIFAKIS: I'm sorry. So that was the other one. So with the Wainscoting on the front of the home, if we do have to wrap that all the way around, I would request that we
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also have the ability to just not do the Wainscoting at all. So one or the other. We can wrap it all the way around. That's fine. We'll drop it below the window, but also have the ability to remove it and just take the typical siding down to the -- grade.

MS. ELLER: Mr. Chair.
THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh.
MS. ELLER: May I summarize what I believe are the modifications requested by the applicant?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
MS. ELLER: For the record. Thank you.
What I've heard so far is that, in addition to the Item K that we added to the list of elevations, that -- you actually have two elevations for a one-and-a-half-story version. So they would be added as $K$ and $L$, if we adopted the addendum elevations plus those two.

And then the requested changes you've asked for are consideration of Items 1, 2 and 3 under the recommended conditions, under the general conditions. I did not hear an option for modifying those, but that you wanted those
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Condition Number 10 regarding common floor height, under the general conditions, you requested that from 24 to 18.

And then separate from the general conditions, on the conditions related to the individual elevations, for each one where we have included the beltline condition, you would like the option to add board and batten or lap above the beltline.

And then, again, on the conditions applicable to each of the elevations, for the Wainscoting, full wrap to either full wrap or remove it entirely, but I don't know that I understand where that fits in the specific conditions for the elevations.

Can you help me with that, Christian?
MR. POPOLI: Okay.
MS. ELLER: The last item that was requested is that, if there is a requiring for full wrap for Wainscoting -- Wainscoting, however you properly pronounce that --

MR. SIFAKIS: The (inaudible) Craftsman is the --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
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MS. ELLER: So the --
MR. POPOLI: It's the brick we were discussing.

MS. ELLER: Okay. So that goes to the condition that we had on H , which was brought up by one of the Commission members, which was that -- regarding that banding, if you go to full -- you either go to full wrap, but if you don't go to full wrap, you'd like the option to remove it entirely?

MR. SIFAKIS: Yes.
MS. ELLER: Okay. So any of the different elevations where that would apply, that would be a modification to those conditions.

So that's what I've heard as the requested modifications from the applicant so we can have it clear for our court reporter.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, if I could just make one comment on the -- Number 10, floor height.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
MR. POPOLI: It wasn't clear in the condition, but I think it should be brought out that that was for the College Street
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elevations. The rear ones obviously have a garage. We weren't anticipating that those be two feet off the ground. So it was just the College Street elevations that would be addressed with that one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, question for the applicant. You say that recommendation -- Condition Number 1, the front setback of 10 feet cannot be made. What is your front setback?

MR. SIFAKIS: It's 5 feet.
So the building next door, the apartment building next door is 0 feet. So we're still set back from the building to the east of this project.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. And then question or maybe a comment. The side yards on the College elevation appear to be the full 10 foot of the driveway. So property line to building is 10 feet, what it appears. Not sure if that's our jurisdiction to comment on that as to whether the car could really get in there and whether that might cause cars not to go in there and put them out on the street.
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closer to 13, but it will work.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Right. I mean, like a regular driveway.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Not a double.
MR. SIFAKIS: Regular driveways are normally 8 or 9 feet, is what we normally pour.

And then so one other thing. So at the back of -- so on the alley, we had the -- an area of -- a landscaped area between each of the houses, and we could remove that landscaping and just put gravel in that area and it would act as -- it wouldn't be a fully conforming parking space, but that would work as a parallel parking space up behind the properties for potential other parking. So that was a suggestion we could do in the rear to make, you know, potential additional parking.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Does anyone else have questions?

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: What's the width of the alley in the back?

MR. SIFAKIS: Ten feet.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Alex, we'll call you back up if we need you.
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MR. SIFAKIS: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I did have one question for the applicant, if I can.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
Alex, give us one second.
MR. POPOLI: On the revised elevations, there's -- I don't know if it's on all of them. I think it is. There's a -- like a stoop and then a second door that doesn't have that covering over it. Are those structurally necessary? Can they be similar? Can one go away? Like, what's the -- it's a little odd.

MR. SIFAKIS: Yeah. It probably just had to do with -- we know the Commission is -- the plate heights are important, so we probably didn't want to accentuate that. We could go either way, either remove it -- have the option to remove it or put it on both would work for us. We wouldn't need to have one with the covering and one without.

MR. POPOLI: Okay.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Quick question for Alex. When I'm looking through what this might potentially look like under Proposed Master
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Plan College Street Frontage Homes, where you
have -- it's being flanked by the one-and-a-half-story on each side.

MR. SIFAKIS: Right.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I'm noticing a lot of similarities with the seven homes in the middle. Would it be a potential to maybe add one more just different sort of floor plan or different plan, maybe even like a Tudor or something like that or -- something else that would be -- I know you've added the one-and-a-half-story.

MR. SIFAKIS: So --
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Sorry. Before you answer that, just to clarify. There are $X$ number of elevations and there's a requirement that the same elevation can't be next to itself, the other.

MR. POPOLI: That's correct. That's a good point. The --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: How many elevations are there?

MR. SIFAKIS: There's eight for the front.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. So there's eight elevations that a buyer can choose and
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you cannot have the same elevation next to each other.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay.
MR. SIFAKIS: Exactly.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So regardless of
what this picture shows, it's whatever the buyer wants.

MR. SIFAKIS: Exactly.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay.
MR. SIFAKIS: So there are -- and I don't
actually know -- I don't think that all of the
different types are represented here. I don't know that they are or not. I know that we wouldn't allow somebody to put the same elevation or the same one-and-a-half-story on each corner. So I -- I actually don't like this either, and that's not what it will look like, I promise.

But we do feel that we've addressed the diversity. You know, if it came down to approving or disapproving and it was one more -- a Tudor elevation, we would do that. It's really those five items that are on there that -- the project doesn't work if we can't get those taken off.
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THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. MR. SIFAKIS: Thanks.
THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. Nancy Powell, 1848 Challen Avenue, Jacksonville, 32205.

Okay. So as you know, I'm the board chair of Riverside Avondale Preservation and also the past zoning chair.

As you know, our mission is to preserve the historic and unique character of the neighborhood. With new construction, that's a little different, but we encourage the JHPC and the builders to plan for the future historic district of the -- you know, with homes that celebrate the same kind of diversity and integrity of the architecture, along with the friendly pedestrian [sic] environments that include parks, trees and nature.

Subdivisions provide a unique challenge. We've had -- the situation over on Green and

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

Myra was a -- one of the more recent ones, even though Avondale, of course, was its own subdivision back in the day.

Our zoning committee did meet with Curtis
on a number of occasions. We are meeting Alex
for the first time, so we haven't had the chance to talk with him.

I want to just say from a process standpoint, I'm -- I think it was our thinking that zoning probably would have come first. I know there's a PUD and a land use amendment. That's what happened on Green and Myra Street.
I know the concept and the intent is to have this thing more fully developed when it goes there, but, for example, the site plan up here, we've not seen that with the dimensions on it before.

So I think he -- Alex presented some packages to you guys today. We have not seen what you guys are seeing right now.

So I have a little bit of a concern overall that perhaps today, because it's such a complex project -- it requires site planning, setbacks, site -- as well as all of the different elevations, that -- a recommendation Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
that we would have -- and, again, knowing that there's zoning still, there's several months of other work that needs to be done, is to -perhaps it's a conceptual approval today, kind of like DDRB does, and then some of these changes where we've heard that we don't have side elevations, we don't have this, we don't have that, that it really would come back as a fully formed project that you already are familiar with, and kind of iron out the details at the next meeting. That would be our recommendation, given the complexity of -- and also the fact that a lot of stuff has been shared today that we -- you know, it would be nice if we had seen some of that. We did see the addendum yesterday.

So this is a unique situation. We did recommend the courtyard concept, which is not historic in nature at -- in the district, but this particular property is on the edge of the district. And if you go over there -- I was bicycling by there yesterday. There is an industrial property, working industrial property across the street. There were dump trucks at 7 p.m. working across the street.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
(904) 821-0300

So when you -- when the original concept, which was 13 homes facing College Street on very narrow lots -- so much narrower than what's proposed here, narrow and very long. It just didn't feel right. One of our zoning members was familiar with the concept and proposed it, so --
(Timer notification.)
MS. POWELL: So that's kind of how we got to today. And it's not something that we would recommend in other parts of the neighborhood.
We don't have very many parcels that are subdivision-ready, although we have more than we used to think we did.

And I guess my time is up, so --
THE CHAIRMAN: You can take another minute if you --

MS. POWELL: Take another --
THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine.
MS. POWELL: Okay. So we did provide some comments. I think you guys got them. I just wanted to highlight a few things.

We think that the staff did a very thorough job, and that the project will be a better project if their recommendations are
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adopted.
We totally agree with the 10 -foot setback on College Street because primarily -- and we saw this on Green and Myra Street. When you don't have the widths for the driveways, it's problematic. And one of my bugaboos is when people park over the sidewalks because there's not enough room to open your doors. So I think that that's a reasonable compromise.

We also -- at the site -- and this was not addressed in the staff report, but there's an existing right-of-way right now with about ten mature oak trees on College Street, and we had suggested to Curtis that they adjust and -maybe they take out a couple of them, but that the driveways -- that the site plan can respect the existing trees. Those trees add, you know, $\$ 10,000$ to the value of that house and they protect it from the College Street across the street, which is this industrial property, and it's not very -- so that was one of the different recommendations.

We support the diversity and massing and design. I think that's really the challenge here, when houses are so close together, and we
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think that the Rubel Street house should have -- and Angela is going to talk a little bit more about the architecture, but they need to address the property over there.

We also think that -- maybe this is in the PUD, maybe not, is that -- a more fully formed landscape plan, without palm trees -- sorry, Curtis -- with shade trees and, you know, the pocket park and no sign on the subdivision would be there.

So we're not opposed to the density of this particular location. Most pocket neighborhoods, as we've studied them, do have houses that are a little smaller than these houses. So this presents a little bit of a challenge when you only have 6 feet, you know, between houses. That's not unheard of in historic neighborhoods. So the density is okay if it's done well, and that's the challenge ahead of us.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Your name and address, please.
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AUDIENCE MEMBER: Angela Schifanella, 1352 Avondale Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida.

THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.

MS. SCHIFANELLA: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MS. SCHIFANELLA: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MS. SCHIFANELLA: I'm just going to comment, basically, on the design.

I think staff did a fantastic job on a complex project, to go through and try to address all those.

I'm going to follow briefly, just as a member of RAP, really trying to get trees in the right-of-way, how important those right-of-way trees are. Particularly if this 5-foot setback which is proposed stays in place, there is no opportunity for trees in front of these houses without using those right-of-way trees. So we would love to see that site plan just adjusted enough to
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accommodate those. And if the trees do need to be thin, that they do that in a regular pattern. Every other tree or -- not just take out three and leave one, you know, do that in a consistent manner.

Generally speaking, we're in favor of these one-and-a-half-story schemes. I think that's a much more appropriate size and scale in some ways for this courtyard development. And so we encourage the adoption of the one-and-a-half-story plans and elevations. Again, we would love to see all four elevations before we could make a final assessment on that.

On the Rubel Street and on Willow Branch, we consider those to be primary front elevations. And what's being presented to us is -- you can pick -- the owner will pick a model, it will have a side elevation, but they're going to be just like all the other side elevations, and we believe that they have to specifically address those streets. So that might be a plain change with a gable projection or something that takes that from just one long, flat side elevation.
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He did a nice job -- we commend the applicant for adding windows and reducing the number of the horizontal windows, but we really would like to see specific elevations proposed for those streets, whether they be for the one-and-a-half-story scheme or the two-story scheme; and that if someone buys that corner lot, that that elevation should be specifically approved for that site.

On the sill band, definitely in agreement. The sill band should be in a traditional place at the bottom of the window sill or at the break between the first and second floor.

I will say specifically on the 1791 Prairie there is a brick band and a sill band and, you know, variety and materials are starting and stopping. I think there could be simple refinements here that just made it a more historically consistent design. I don't think you should have a brick band and another sill band immediately above that.

And, again, there's still some of these very horizontal windows in these addendum designs that we would like to see addressed and meet the conditions.
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Same thing -- I think Christian picked this up already, on the double entries on some of these side elevations. We don't have the floor plans or the final submittal, so we're concerned as to why there are two entryways that close together on the side elevation.
(Timer notification.)
MS. SCHIFANELLA: I'll just make a couple more points.

Generally on the materials, glad to know that the stack stone is not being considered.

I never feel like it's a good, appropriate move to start and stop materials, to turn the corner and just stop. So we would love to see the material wrap all the way around or go with the traditional siding down to the stem wall.

Shutters, we'd like -- we concur with staff on a more historically appropriate shutter. And also the scale of the shutter should be appropriate, meaning when the shutters could be closed, that they are the right dimension to do that, so not very narrow, but the -- half the width of the window opening.

And then the very last comment is, Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
generally speaking, on the porches, we would love to see one of these elevations have a full front porch. You know, almost all of them are these half porches on College Street. The one-and-a-half-story plan gets very close. It has a little infill where the powder room is. And if we could get one of these designs to have a full front porch, we think that would be a great addition to the project.

So thank you very much.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing, and I will entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I motion to approve COA-18-21064 with staff conditions.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. A motion is on the floor. So where do we want to start?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, I'll start. I think what's important to consider and understand, that this is a unique
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parcel, that the assembled parcel is on the corner of a residential block or community, that there is industrial stuff across the street, there is commercial stuff. It actually was land use -- or is land use as commercial, I guess was zoned as commercial, switched to PUD. So it's not a single-family home lot. Therefore, it -- as we saw in the PUD, it could have been two giant blocks of multifamily just sitting there.

So, personally, I think this is a great solution for the corner. I think it provides density, which is nice for a growing community and city. I think they've done a good job of respecting College Street and the variety of elevations and the side driveways. I think it was generous that they opened up the corner for that -- it's not really a public park because it has a fence on it, but that kind of just open greenspace on the corner.

So for me, the rear units, rear houses can be different than the front houses and can have more of a density and a larger block and less space in between and the garages that face the alley. It's just a different mix because it's
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a different animal, this whole piece. And they focus on the courtyard.

So just to start off, I think I would agree with striking Condition Number 3, which would require the rear home to be subordinate to the front home because they're separate lots, because the homes focus on the courtyard.

And although they're -- staff is trying to make a connection to an entire single-family lot that goes from front to back, this is actually not that. It's a front lot and a rear lot with a courtyard. And so that front to courtyard -- the rear lots face the rear and the alley and, therefore, they can be different, which I think would also then strike Item Number 2 as well, that there would not be the requirement for a 20 -foot setback between each structure.

Regarding Item Number 1, the 10 -foot setback, I'm not sure how I feel on that one.

So I think what I -- well, let's have discussion.

MS. ELLER: Mr. Chair -- through the Chair to Commissioner Kasper, I believe Suggestion Number 2 would also be included -- if you Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
strike Conditions 2 and 3, then Suggestion Number 2 would follow as well.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Correct.
THE CHAIRMAN: That would be correct.
MS. ELLER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I agree with most of what Erik said. I think that -- as far as the work you guys have put into this, it's a year and a half, and I know you want this process to end soon, so I definitely understand that, and hopefully we can help you achieve your end goals. So thank you for taking the time to put the hard work in to presenting this for us. And thank you to the staff for the hard work that went into the report.

A couple of things. I agree that the properties in the back, they're going to be mostly covered up, and the only way you would see them is if you're actually driving through the alley. So I do agree that the density back there could be bigger, and so I agree with striking Conditions 2 and 3.

As far as the front setback goes, that's the only one that, you know, I would first see trying to keep, just because of allowing this Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
area to continue to remain walkable.
And one thing that the lady -- I'm sorry, what was your name? From RAP.

MS. SCHIFANELLA: Angela.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Angela. And what was your --

MS. POWELL: Nancy.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Nancy. They mentioned a landscape plan. If you look on the overall site plan, you can see that this area has got trees all over the place. As a matter of fact, there's a survey going on for the Jacksonville area to determine shade coverage for the entire city, meaning that that's an important aspect of not only the city, but also this historical area. So that's one thing I would appreciate seeing in this COA.

And I also like the ability to add some rear parking spaces because as far as the landscaping in the back and the alley, really, like no one is actually going to see that and, you know, why spend time and money doing that when you can just add some concrete in there and create some additional spacing just because this area is going to become, you know, a
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heavily parked area, you know, with visitors and guests coming to visit the new 19 properties that are going up in the area.

One thing that I did want to inquire about, as far as fire codes, in between getting too close to the property lines -- and maybe Erik might be able to speak on that as far as single-family homes. I know that there's a provision that I read about --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I think it's similar to the parking issue before. These are going to have to go through the Building Department and get approval for that.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay. Then I'll leave it at that.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: They'll have to figure it out, so --

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I mean, 11 feet between the houses is not atypical at all.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: What's the back ones? It's the back ones. The back ones will be 6 feet from each other. And I know that once you get too close to a property line, I believe that that entire wall needs to be fire rated, which becomes a huge problem because
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it's -- you know, windows and everything.
But yeah, that doesn't have anything to do with us, just a suggestion for the owner to look into.

But other than that -- that's all.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Well, just as it relates to the site issues, I totally agree with you guys on Number 2 and 3. I think the project is unique enough that it kind of has to be treated totally different, although I -- I understand the thinking on trying to put in a box, and I think that, you know, you guys came up with a good solution, but I just disagree that it's totally applicable.

So I agree on striking 2 and 3.
Number 1, on the front, you know, the thing I just kind of keep going back to is that -- I mean, it's in the middle of industrial, multifamily, single-family, and commercial, and so it's hard to know how to treat it.

And, you know, without really like a playbook that we can kind of abide by, I think we -- I think the right thing to do is offer some level of deference to the applicant. I
think there's a strong argument that can be made, based on the multifamily next door, having a zero lot line on the front. So I believe that the best thing to do is kind of lead our way to some kind of a compromise on it.

I think the shade trees are very important. I would be reluctant to put some sort of a stipulation on, like, protecting some of the existing trees just because I think we're -- I think that probably ends up being more problematic than we think it would. But, you know, if we could put some sort of a stipulation on replacing those trees with other shade trees in the right-of-way at whatever given distance or -- you know, I think that would ultimately lead to a good compromise on the item.

So I would be inclined to strike Number 1 and replace it with some sort of language on the shade trees.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm in pretty much agreeance with everything everybody said. I think this is a unique project, to say the least, and it's difficult to look at
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single-family rules that we try to put in place and try and place them here.

I've got to give credit to the applicant, frankly, for, in my opinion, going above and beyond to try and appease just about everything that the staff asked. There's some points that I -- frankly, I'm not sure I would have agreed with, so I'll give credit to the applicant there.

When it comes to the setback, I can understand both points, but I'm more in agreeance with Ryan to the fact that the multifamily next door is at a zero setback and you're across the street from industrial. So I would be in favor of writing some sort of agreement in there, whether that be -- whatever we can come up with, but that's where my head's at at this point.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I agree with mainly what Ryan said so far, as far as Items 1, 2 and 3. And I also wouldn't be opposed to changing Item 10 to clarify it's all structures along College, common floor height no less than 18 inches. I think that that's what we've seen in terms of these plans.
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Do we want to just stick to these conditions before we talk about the designs as well or just kind of lay out everything?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, if we get through these first, we'll move on to the designs.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Well, I think that's my thoughts on those.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Well, then let's discuss Ryan's possible -- I don't think it has to be the full 10 feet. And the question is, can the 5 feet work? What are we trying to do on this street edge? We do want it to be, quote, pedestrian friendly. Well, there's a sidewalk on the right-of-way and there's access for a pedestrian. There's a 5 -foot distance from that sidewalk to the house, which -assuming we'll have some landscaping. So you can still walk the street.

I believe Nancy's concern was that cars park on the sidewalk. I don't know how you control that. They have a driveway that runs the full length of their house. So --

MS. POWELL: (Inaudible.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Nancy --
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So, Ryan, I'm not
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1 sure how you could write in something else
2 because there doesn't appear to be any place to
put a tree unless, you know, maybe -- maybe you say the porch portion is as a 10 -foot setback and you place the tree in front of the porch. I don't know. I'm sure that messes up the plan.

So what are we trying to do along that street edge? We're acknowledging that this is a dense project. I think we should not forget that it's a courtyard project and there are shade trees and courtyard and landscaping internal, which is not normal.

THE CHAIRMAN: Guys --
MS. POWELL: We're trying to figure out how big the right-of-way is.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine. I need you to go outside if you're having a conversation.

MS. POWELL: Okay. Sorry.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So what are we
trying to accomplish with this -- once it's fully built, what do we want that street edge on College to look like? And so we need to --

I think we need to answer the question, will it look -- will it be acceptable the way
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it is now? That you have a sidewalk, there's a little bit of greenspace, there's a curb, and then there's this road. You have a sidewalk, and then there's a 5 -foot piece of greenspace, and then there's a house, and then there's a driveway every 36 feet. So is that an acceptable, walkable, pedestrian-friendly --

THE CHAIRMAN: So, frankly, for me, I'm fine with this as is. It's across from industrial. I think it's fine.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I agree. I think, you know, as a bare minimum, the way it is, I would be fine with it. I guess I would put adding trees or anything like that in the -more in the context of these would be nice suggestions. But given the area that it's in, I think that we would maybe be exceeding our scope if we mandated anything past that.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. So I'm good with striking Number 1 and changing it to -- I guess we change it to 5 foot so we -- at least that's something.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Well, we just strike
it.
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COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Five feet is what the clients recommended, so -- and I'm okay with striking it as well, and then providing something about, you know, adding some shade trees as a suggestion rather than proposing it because that is outside of the scope of this commission.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. I think we've made --

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Although I did have a -- Number 10, all structures should have a common floor height of no less than 24 inches on College Street -- and the only -- and, again, as a suggestion, to the builders and architects here, it's going to create an issue with anything that you run below your house, including plumbing and electrical, because now -- once you get floor joists in there, if that's at 18 inches above ground, the finished floor height, you put a 2-by-8 floor joist down there, they've got 10 inches to get below there, so --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I think he's doing a stem wall -- filling it in with dirt and then doing a stem wall.
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COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay. Oh, I see. I see.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: And it would apply to all the houses on the river also. I mean, I know they've got garages, but the finished floor would still be a three-course stem wall.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: The finished floor on the back properties would all be on grade.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: No.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: The garage would be on grade, but you could step up to the house.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Oh, I see.
MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, our concern was the College Street elevations being at least 24 inches, and the reason for that is, one, we never as a -- or as a body you never approved anything less than that. And the design regulations generally refer to 30 to 36 as the average for the district. So 18 is probably the lowest anywhere if you approve it that way. So it is setting a substantial precedent for new construction, and then we have to --
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(Simultaneous speaking.)
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: There are three-quarter stem walls all over the neighborhood.

MR. POPOLI: The conditions that we apply have a specific height related to the adjacent structures. If something is built other than that, that would be an enforcement issue for the Building Department, but ultimately the conditions that we've put in place have been -I can't think of one that's been less than 24 inches. If they've been built that way, that's technically outside of the COA.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Well, none of them -I mean, at least as long as I've been on the Commission, been involved with it, very few of them read like a specific floor height. It's always match the neighboring structures within six inches.

MR. POPOLI: Right. And what I would say is, normally that's going to be much higher than 18 inches; 24, probably higher than that as well.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Do we know what the height is for the building next door?
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MR. POPOLI: Which building?
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I guess there's a multifamily or something next door, an apartment building.

MR. POPOLI: Well, I mean, that's -- I
don't know, but that's a very different architectural design, so I don't know that it would apply.

Anyway, that was our intent at least.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Good point. Thank you, Christian.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I mean, I would tend to agree with Tim. I think that what we're trying to achieve is creating the appearance of a structure that's built off grade and in a traditional manner. And, I mean, I think a three-course stem wall, 18 inches accomplishes that, especially in the situation of not having an adjacent structure to pull off of.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Yeah. I think the only way that it does work is that this is a different block. This is almost like a multifamily block. This is a dense single-family residential block of homes and we're attempting to do all we can to make them
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appear as single-family, but that's a hard one.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I think, normally, when we're required to be within 6 inches of the neighboring structures, we're wanting consistency to the neighboring structures. So here, that is all of these. Otherwise, you have streets breaking them off from whatever is next door, and this neighboring structure over here is a multifamily apartment building.

So I guess, you know, when we say this will establish a precedent, I don't see it that way. It's not a body that acts on precedent, so we could make decisions based on the facts and circumstances at the time.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Although we could add it as, you know, basically why -- is it the fact that it was originally zoned for 24 single-family units and now it's going down to 19? And the fact that it's, you know, an RMD, residential medium density, area. And it's stand-alone, whereas the property next door is, you know, a big apartment building, and then across the street you have an industrial building.

So based on the area it's at, it's
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really -- there's not much around it. So, you know, that could be just evidence that we could use for this particular case so that we don't look at setting a precedent for something in the middle of Riverside with, you know, 50 houses all at the same heights around it. They're all at 30 inches above grade.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is a very different sort of project.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I'm okay with striking 10 as well and changing it to 18 inches.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. As far as the general requests, slash, recommendations, does anybody else have anything?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, just back to the brick, I personally like the brick elevation. I understand how historically -- or even we don't like to see brick on the front and then it stops. I would, personally, in that courtyard -- which I'm assuming this brick is on the rear -- on the rear elevations?

MR. SIFAKIS: It's on both.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: It's on both.
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entertain a motion on the modifications to the
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I would offer that if the brick is applied to the rear elevation and it's one of the internal homes, meaning not the home on Willow Branch or the home on Rubel, that the brick could just be on the front and then return 4 feet, with the reasoning that those houses are tied together. You may not see that entire thing. And it would be nice to have some brick on that courtyard.

So the modification would be offering brick on the rear houses, internal lots, to return just 4 feet on the sides.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Is that a suggestion or are you wanting to modify --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I want to modify the condition that said if you're going to use brick, it has to go all the way around because what the applicant is stating is that he wants the option not to do it, which the reality is he's not going to do it, so we're not going to have any brick anywhere.

MS. ELLER: Mr. Chair.
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MS. ELLER: My suggestion would be to
one commissioner about whether a condition to allow the rear parking spaces as an option.

And I believe that is all I have on the discussion of modifications to the general conditions before we get to the elevations.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
What are the thoughts on the rear parking?
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Where is that on the -- is that a condition?

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: It's a suggestion. It's Number 3, so it's --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: We really don't have to address it.

MS. ELLER: My question was whether or not you wanted to move it into a condition as opposed to a suggestion based upon the offer made by the applicant. So that was my only other note that I had on a potential condition.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I don't think so.
THE CHAIRMAN: No. We don't want to.
Okay. So I would --
COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. I will make a motion to amend the staff conditions by striking Item 1 and not requiring the 10-foot setback; striking Condition 2 and not requiring
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an average 20 foot between structures; and striking Item 3, not requiring the front home to be wider than the rear home.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Well, you still want the front setback to be 5 feet per what they added on there, right?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Do I have to state that if that's already what they asked for? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I believe so. COMMISSIONER KASPER: The condition is changing what they've requested. They've already requested five. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Oh, I see. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So by saying nothing --

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: -- they get the five.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay. COMMISSIONER KASPER: And then modifying Condition 10 for all structures to have a common floor of no less than 18 inches above grade.

MS. ELLER: Is that on College Street or for all?
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COMMISSIONER KASPER: I'm sorry, that is for all, period, garage, floor can be at or near grade.

MS. ELLER: Also, does the motion include striking Suggestion 2, which would flow from striking 1, 2 and 3 of the conditions?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: That's fine.
THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second for that?

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: We now have an amended motion on the floor.

And all those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you have passed the amended motion.

MS. ELLER: And now, Mr. Chair, my suggestion would be to go through the elevation changes, adopt the addendum as presented.
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THE CHAIRMAN: So do I need another motion on the floor?

MS. ELLER: My suggestion would be that we would treat it as a second amendment and we could roll them all in together as one amendment to the original motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: I see.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: It's an amendment to what we just passed?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. So --
MS. ELLER: My suggestion would be, since we amended -- since we moved the bill with the conditions that were offered by the staff and then amended the general conditions and then you went ahead and took a vote on the motion as amended, if you would please just move the item again for it to get onto the floor for discussion because we need to do further amendments regarding the elevations.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MS. ELLER: That was my fault for not jumping in on the --

THE CHAIRMAN: Not a problem.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I make a motion to approve with conditions COA-18-21064.
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MS. ELLER: As previously amended. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: As previously amended.

MS. ELLER: For further amendment. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: For further amendment.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I wanted to kind
of work out the language on the beltline, shake, shingle siding thing. I feel like we can just use one consistent sentence across all of them.

And I guess, through the Chair, question to staff. As far as what is the most prevalent in the district, the beltines, are they in line with the bottom -- the second story window in line with the bottom sill or in line with the change or -- what's it called? The floor -- what would the term be, floor divide? Or is it kind of anywhere in between?

MR. POPOLI: I don't know what's more common. I would say you see both. Probably the change in elevation would be more common.

The floor plate versus the window sill.
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This (indicating). Would it be more common for it to be at the floor plate or at the bottom of the window sill?

MS. SHEPPARD: I think the bottom of the window sill.

MR. POPOLI: There you go.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I guess what I'm concerned about is -- a lot of the concern is the variety of these, and that's -- the way it's worded, we're going to end up with all of these beltlines all on the same line, and it would be better if we provided some -- a little bit more flexibility in this as to where the beltline ends up.

And I will read out what I had and see if folks think it works, but -- the beltline and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation at or below the lower sill of the upper story windows.

I have one thought here, was that you could do -- or at or above the upper sill of the first story windows, but it sounds like that's not the case, so don't do that.

And then not dividing a window mid sash.
So that -- and then -- sorry, I actually
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wrote this on a separate page. I'll read it again.

To add in the option about the floor divide as well, yeah. So "or along the floor divide" after you say "not at the mid sash."

So just to do it -- it would be the beltline and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation at or below the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing a window mid-sash or along the floor divide.

And then sort of a similar thing as far as the brickwork along the bottom, having that to be one consistent condition that's -- you can have the stone below the lower sill of the first story windows or at the floor divide as well so that there is some consistency there with the rest of the district, but that there can be more variety between these structures as well.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: That would be brick. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Oh, yes. Right. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, I would say that's good.

I would add, because I think the applicant
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requested cedar shake, board and batten, or lap siding.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: True.
THE CHAIRMAN: I like that.
MS. ELLER: And, Mr. Chair, since these are complex conditions, if you would like to entertain a motion on each one as we craft it, that's fine, and ultimately it will all be wrapped up into one amendment at the end. You may do that.

THE CHAIRMAN: It would probably be easier.

MS. ELLER: So each time a condition is sort of finalized, the presenter commissioner could move to approve the condition as stated as an amendment and pass each amendment.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I'm fine with what staff has recommended. I personally don't need or want to change what staff is recommending.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Agreed.
THE CHAIRMAN: I'm in agreeance.
Yeah, we can --
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hold on. Andres is thinking.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Well, I'm wondering
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1 if that was a staff condition to be able to allow them to use board and batten, Hardie lap siding or the shingle, that we could just -I'm trying to find that condition so we can just strike it --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Tim rewrote it here as Number 1. Exactly what Tim said, except for we're saying shake shingle, comma, board and batten, comma, lap siding shall be applied -blah, blah, blah.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay. So just adding --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: The siding options.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: -- the siding options, yeah. Okay.

THE CHAIRMAN: So do we have that --
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I'd like to make a motion to --

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Do you want me to do it?

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Go ahead.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: I'll make a modification to Condition Number 1 for all the designs so that it reads, the beltline and shake shingle siding shall be applied at the
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same height of each elevation at or below the lower sill of the upper story windows -- I screwed this up already because I left out the -- sorry.

So the beltline and shake shingle siding, board and batten siding, or lap siding shall be applied at the same height of each elevation at or below the lower sill of the upper story windows, not dividing a window mid sash or at the floor divide.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you have passed the amendment.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Passed the modification.

MS. ELLER: Passed the modification of Item 1.

And so then, Mr. Chair, what I'm hearing from the group is that a motion would be proper to adopt the remaining elevation conditions as presented by the staff as modified by the list
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I previously read where we cleaned up and made consistent the different conditions.

And then the only other thing I would ask is that you include the official adoption of the addendum submission elevations because those were not part of the staff report presentation with these conditions. They were a separate presentation. So officially adopt the addendum elevations with the addition of the two elevations for the one-and-a-half-story, and then clarifying which elevations are the options for College Street versus which elevations are the options for the -- what we're calling the alley or back row because I didn't see that clearly listed on our documentation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MS. ELLER: I can say it again if you want me to.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MS. ELLER: The items that I've identifying as remaining from my notes are the remainder of the elevation conditions as the staff presented, as modified by the changes I read into the record previously, which, in
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essence, made consistent some inconsistencies we identified and removed conditions that would not be appropriate for a specific elevation, like a railing.

THE CHAIRMAN: So I need a motion for that, correct?

MS. ELLER: My suggestion would be a motion to approve the remaining elevation conditions as modified. And then there are a few other housekeeping items, if you would like to take those separately, we can do that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let's go ahead and get that one out of the way. So I'll take a motion on the rest of the staff conditions.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Tim, you're closer to Shannon.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: All right. A
motion to approve the conditions -- staff conditions for the designs as modified.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: You have passed the motion.
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I'll leave it at that.

MS. ELLER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
And then the other housekeeping items I
have are a motion to officially adopt the addendum elevations as presented since those were different from the elevations presented attached to the staff conditions, including the addition of the two one-and-a-half-story elevations, which we'll call them $K$ and $L$ once we include them with the list.

And then a clarification of which elevations would be the options for the College Street frontage versus the elevations that would be the options for what we're calling the alley front of the back row.

THE CHAIRMAN: So I will take a motion to adopt the addendum, and also take a motion -actually, you can tie it into the same motion to adopt the two one-and-a-half-story elevations, and then we'll go to the clarification with College Street.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I make a motion to adopt the addendum and the two one-and-a-half-story elevations.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you've adopted the addendum.

And then I need a clarification for
College Street. And I don't know if we really talked about that.

MS. ELLER: The reason why I was raising it as an issue is because the applicant stated that there were eight different elevations for the nine choices on College Street, and I didn't see anywhere in the documentation if we had -- if the Commission -- it's the will of the Commission to choose that at this point or if you wanted them all to be available. I was just confused by that.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I think we can go ahead and identify them. Staff may already know.

MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, they are the ones with the garages, but there are four presented in the addendum. I'll just read them off for you. It is the 1805 Prairie, the 1805
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Craftsman, the 1825 Prairie, and the 1825
Craftsman. These would be the rear lots not on
the College Street options.
MS. ELLER: Thank you for the
clarification.
THE CHAIRMAN: No problem.
So where do I go from here?
MS. ELLER: Now that the clarifications
are made on the record, I don't think it is necessary for you to entertain a motion to amend anything to identify the options that are on College Street and not on College Street.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MS. ELLER: I'm reviewing back through my notes to see if there was anything else that has not been addressed.

The only other item I see was whether or not you wanted to add an additional condition in the elevation conditions regarding the coverings on the double doors. That was the only other thing that was not in here that had been discussed amongst the group.

MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we had talked about -- and I think RAP had made a comment. I believe it's only on the College
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Street elevations, but they all seem to have two side entrances. And that's atypical for the district, specifically with one having a stoop covering and the other one not.

The suggestion I had made was that either -- probably the simplest thing is just to have one entrance and have that be the covered one, but they had presented the option of either doing both covered or just one.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: They're storage closets, aren't they?

MR. POPOLI: I don't know. I didn't get into that level of detail on the floor plans.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, could we request the applicant to explain why there's two doors?

THE CHAIRMAN: Alex.
(Mr. Sifakis approaches the podium.)
MR. SIFAKIS: We're talking about the 1791? Yeah, I do believe they're storage.

MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, it appears to be basically all of the College Street
elevations, not just the 1791.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
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storage. Since those units didn't have a garage, we thought it was very beneficial to have some exterior storage for girls' bikes, whatever. So that's why that door is there.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, assuming those doors are necessary, staff's recommendation is to have a little covering over both doors?

MR. POPOLI: I think they should be consistent.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm fine with it. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So add a condition to all the elevations on College Street that have two side doors, that both side doors should have a covering, a small roof, awning. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Or not. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Or both not, that they would be consistent.

Did I say "motion"? That was a motion.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
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so you're at least aware of what they are.
So basically the concept for these is we're reviewing a request for a name change based on whether or not there's any historic context that might be lost if the name is changed.

The first one is for a portion of what is known as R.G. Skinner Parkway, and the request would be to change it to E-Town Parkway. Currently, R.G. Skinner Parkway or E-town Parkway, because it's named -- currently, the length of the proposed road which has not been constructed has both names. A portion is the E-Town Parkway and a portion is the R.G. Skinner Parkway. This request is to make the entire length consistent as E-Town Parkway.

Again, the road is not constructed, so it is a proposed road segment that would be changed. Since it's a newly platted road it has no history associated with it other than the plat that was originally proposed, so there's no -- it doesn't occur anywhere else, it's not tied to any historic plat, it's not tied to any historic person, so we're recommending approval because there's no impact
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on any actual history.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do I need to open public comment for this?

MS. ELLER: No. Your commission is not charged with having a public hearing on this matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Does anybody have an issue with R.G. to E-town?

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Is it a motion?
MS. ELLER: Yes. I think a motion would
be appropriate.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: I make a motion to approve the road renaming of R.G. Skinner to E-Town Parkway.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, it is now
E-town.
All right. Road renaming Number 2.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Does this come from the public or from you, Christian?

MR. POPOLI: This is an e-mail from the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
public. So this request is to rename Gillen Street to Cecil Wiggins Street.

A little bit of background: You're charged with reviewing the history on these names as part of an ordinance that goes before City Council to officially change the name.
That is Chapter 745. In that chapter, there are a lot of provisions that have to be gone through by council that relate to people who front on the road and their claim to the name of the road, how they would be impacted.

Based on a conversation I had with Ellen Fales who's with the addressing section in Development Services, this particular request is at the behest of a City Councilperson and they are asking the council to waive these requirements.

So none of this actually relates to what you guys do, but it's just informational.

The e-mail that I've handed out has some specifically called-out in capital letters language that actually comes from the ordinance, and they are responding to that information related to their standing in the ordinance. The provisions for that have been
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waived, but the point that's raised is relevant to your discussion, at least in concept.

Our research indicates that Gillen Road was originally platted as Drew Street. And at some point in its history, the name was changed to Gillen Street. In our records through Public Works in our archives, we have no evidence of when this took place or what the reasons were.

The e-mail that you have has stated a case that the road name was changed in relation to the author of the e-mail. I believe it was his grandfather. And the road was actually named for that person. Again, we have no evidence to support that other than this statement. He didn't provide any evidence. I had asked Ms. Fales to request any documentation he may have had. I never received any.

But it is, I think, worth bringing to your attention that this is a point that has been raised. Again, our records don't support that. We don't have any evidence of who the gentleman was or his historic significance. He may have just been someone who lived on the road.

But based on our research, we feel that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

1 the renaming is fine, it doesn't affect any
2 history that we were able to uncover, so we're
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common theme of street names throughout a community, like a 1st, 2nd, 3rd type thing; the age of the street name; whether the street name is a duplicate street name. So those are the charges to the Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to Chapter 745.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So none of these things really should weigh on our --

MR. POPOLI: No. It was simply the matter that he was addressing the history of the name that we wanted to bring to your attention, but I have nothing in the way of evidence to support it other than the e-mail.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: But the e-mail is in writing.

MR. POPOLI: That is true.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: And council -procedurally, if we approve it, then it goes on to City Council. If we deny it, then --

MS. ELLER: Yes. The requirement is that the Historic Preservation Commission provide a report. That is included with the other reports that go forward to be considered by the City Council, as well as the individual council
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committee.
Ultimately, the City Council holds two public hearings and is the decider based upon additional criteria beyond the historic review that's assigned to this commission.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: It goes to them regardless?

MS. ELLER: Correct.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KASPER: So as a commission, based on staff, we're saying that there's no historic significance to this name and, therefore, we're allowing it to change?

MR. POPOLI: Based on our research, we're confident that the name change happened post war, so it's really not what we would consider to be historically significant.

COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Right. And the e-mail itself doesn't actually cite any historical significance other than familial significance to this person, so --

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I motion to approve Ordinance 2018-0474, changing Gillen Street to Cecil Wiggins Street.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.
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THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you've
successfully changed to Gillen Street to Cecil
Wiggins Street, so far at least.
Wagner Road to Jeanette.
MR. POPOLI: All right. So this is a
request to change Wagner Road to Jeannette C.
Holmes Road. The map here, you can see the
location of Wagner Road. It predominantly
fronts on vacant property.
Here, although difficult to see, is the
actual plat of the road (indicating). It
appears from our research the road was created
to access a handful of residential parcels.
The road was created in 1951 when the plat was
recorded.
Originally, the plat for this area was in
1926 and it just showed it as an unlabeled
egress. So we're not aware of any historical
significance. The only structures built along
the road were built in 1998, so they're
obviously not historic. The road doesn't occur
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anywhere else in the city, or the name doesn't occur anywhere else in the city, so we didn't find any historical context to oppose changing the name, so we're recommending approval for that as well.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Christian, Erik brought up that there's no ordinance for this.

MR. POPOLI: Correct. Typically, they come to you before there's an ordinance number and before they're introduced. The other two had been introduced by a councilperson prior to, so these are kind of in process, they have ordinance numbers. This one does not because it's following the normal procedure.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: I make a motion to approve the renaming of Wagner Road to Jeannette C. Holmes Road.

COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, Wagner Road to Jeannette Road, approved.

Moving on.
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MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I have two quick housekeeping items. One of them requires some action on your part.

The first thing I'm going to hand out is just a pamphlet on some training held by the National Preservation Institute. It's not something that the City funds, but there are some interesting classes. If you're interested, you can attend. They are throughout the country. There are some locally, but --

So the other thing -- we were contacted by the Cultural Council. They host what they call the State of the Arts Banquet, a luncheon, I guess. And at this, they have a number of people who attend, and they do break-out sessions for discussion. They have a panel discussing the redevelopment of downtown and they would like a member from this commission to be on that panel for discussion. Someone from staff will also be there.

I think some of the questions will focus on various tax incentives that our section offers related to preservation. Some of them may be more general, but they were specific
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that they wanted somebody from the Commission at this panel.

I have a handout. It's Thursday, September 13th. The specific break-out session is from $1: 45$ to $2: 45$. I don't know who else will be on the panel. They were going to reach out to the Downtown Investment Authority, maybe the DDRB board, some local developers in the downtown area.

At any rate, I suppose it's on you guys to pick someone to be on the panel, if you so choose. I'll pass out the information here.

I will point out that Maiju is not here.
COMMISSIONER LOPERA: All those in favor?
(Discussion held off the record.)
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: So it's 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.?

MR. POPOLI: That is the whole program, and I imagine you're welcome to attend, but the actual break-out session is in the afternoon.

COMMISSIONER KASPER: In your understanding or experience, what does this person need to do?

MR. POPOLI: I would imagine it would be somebody who can speak on preservation
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generally. I don't know the direction of the conversation. The information is fairly broad. I don't think these are terribly guided discussion panels, but my sense is they are really interested in what the role preservation plays in the downtown redevelopment process.

Obviously, we have had a couple of demolitions recently. There are a number of big projects going on. So it does, I think, play a role. We have some examples of the Chophouse and the old city library that have been renovated, so --

COMMISSIONER KASPER: Who's been on the Commission the longest?

MR. POPOLI: That would be your chairman.
COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: As far as anything they ask, what are we not just going to be deferring to you on?

MR. POPOLI: I imagine some of the questions are probably more political in nature than technical.

I did run this through the Public Information Office, and the administration has no issues with someone from the board attending and speaking. I mean, you do have engineers,
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| 181 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | architects and developers here who all have |  |
| 2 | some potential stake in downtown or local |  |
| 3 | knowledge. So I don't know, flip a coin. I |  |
| 4 | don't think it will be that scary. |  |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Is lunch provided? |  |
| 6 | MR. POPOLI: I'll find out. Probably. |  |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER KASPER: I'll go. |  |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Great. |  |
| 9 | MR. POPOLI: I will give them your |  |
| 10 | information. |  |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER KASPER: Send me an e-mail |  |
| 12 | or -- |  |
| 13 | MR. POPOLI: Yes. Absolutely. |  |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Copy me on that e-mail. |  |
| 15 | might come hang out anyway. |  |
| 16 | MR. POPOLI: Okay. |  |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: At least come watch. |  |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: That's kind of |  |
| 19 | what I was thinking. |  |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: We're all going to go. |  |
| 21 | MR. POPOLI: I'll ask. I know that the |  |
| 22 | seats are paid. They're limited to, I think, |  |
| 23 | 250. The information is on the website. If |  |
| 24 | you do want to attend, I can't spring for the |  |
| 25 | ticket for you. |  |
|  | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., P.O. Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |  |
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